

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 65544

Title: Splenosis masquerading as gastric stromal tumor: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05926708

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-11 12:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-11 13:16

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Splenosis masquerading as gastric stromal tumor is a rare case. The cases of paraspleen are misdiagnosed as tumor in the region because of its location. The report of this case has certain clinical reference value and can improve clinician. It is suggested to modify the following parts as appropriate: 1. There are too many words in the full text. It is suggested that some unnecessary contents should be deleted in the discussion part. 2. It is suggested that this part should be modified to analyze the etiology, symptoms, diagnosis, treatment and prognosis of this case. In this process, relevant literature can be used for comparison and discussion. The literature consistent with this case can be used as theoretical support, and the reasons for inconsistency need to be explained. 3. It is suggested that the author should supplement the details of preoperative preparation, such as drug treatment (drug name, dose, usage, frequency and duration of medication), disinfection information (name, concentration and disinfection position of disinfectant), drug information used during general anesthesia (drug name and dose), intubation and blood loss during operation, and postoperative treatment, Including whether to transfer to ICU after operation, if transferred, can supplement ICU medication (name, dose, usage, frequency, duration of medication) and other treatment, ICU transfer out time; extubation time (if intubation exists); medication after transfer out of ICU (name, dose, usage, frequency, duration of medication) and patient's prognosis. The time of discharge, medication and other related medical orders were supplemented. 4. The references are too old. As a case report, it is suggested that more references from 2016 and beyond are more convincing. 5. Case reports and literature analysis generally should not be too many references, mostly 10-15, please delete as appropriate.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 65544

Title: Splenosis masquerading as gastric stromal tumor: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 04721358

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-10 10:35

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-17 10:54

Review time: 7 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The Authors present a rare case of splenosis mistaken for gastric stromal tumor and successfully treated with laparoscopic resection. The case is interesting and well-written, the problems of differential diagnosis are discussed. Minor point: The Discussion is too long and should be shortened , especially the section regarding the potential use of SPIO-MRI and EUS -FNA .

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 65544

Title: Splenosis masquerading as gastric stromal tumor: A case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05410953

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-12 16:47

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-19 16:58

Review time: 7 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors present a case of gastric splenosis and a literature review trying to add clinical value by means of a summary of surgical indications and a diagnostic reference for splenosis. In this case no biopsy was taken in the gastroscope. Please, justify or explain the reason. If you had not histological diagnosis before the surgical operation, the diagnosis based on CT is not a definitive one (only suspicion). Then I think you have to change this in the sentence: According to these data, the diagnosis of a gastric stromal tumor was made (lines 83 and 97), and will be convenient to expose the differential diagnosis before the surgical approach. Conclusion (line 30): It has to be shorten. The comments about a restrictive test as SPIO-MRI probably are not part of the conclusions of this case report. Key words (line 40): One of the key words that has to appear is Case Report. Please add it. History of past illness (line 70): You have repeat this subsection. Please change it by Personal and family history. I think that will be interesting to know authors' ORCID numbers Please check the references according to the guideline (number of authors, PMID, DOI), to do easier the peer review work.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 65544

Title: Splenosis masquerading as gastric stromal tumor: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05410953

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-09

Reviewer chosen by: Man Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-21 18:01

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-21 18:19

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors have answered to the peer-review questions. My comment about the

differential diagnosis in this very interesting case is no more than a suggested aspect that could get better your article.