

(Manuscript ID: 65591) titled ' **Surgical Strategies for Severely Atherosclerotic (Porcelain) Aorta During Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting**'

I have considered the reviewers' comments and have revised the manuscript accordingly. Below are the answers to specific reviewers' comments.

Reviewer 1:

Comment: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Cardiology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a table/figure to the manuscript.

Answer: I thank the reviewer for this kind comment. Manuscript'e konu ile ilgili bir resim eklenmiştir.

Reviewer 2:

Comment: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a review of the surgical strategies for severely atherosclerotic (porcelain) aorta during coronary artery bypass grafting. The topic is within the scope of the WJC. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: This paper, in view of the focus of clinical work, a good summary of experience, put forward a reference, has an important guiding value, to achieve the expected purpose of this article; and (3) Format: There are no tables and figures. (4) References: A total of 93 references are cited, including 3 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited

references: There is 1 self-cited reference. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations that are closely related to the topic of the manuscript, and remove other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommend: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by peer reviewer(s), especially the references published by the peer reviewer(s) themselves. If the authors found the peer reviewer(s) request the authors to cite improper references published by themselves, please send the peer reviewer's ID number to the editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. A language editing certificate issued by BSB group was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The title is too long, and it should be no more than 18 words; (2) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions; and (3) The authors should add some figures or tables. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Answer: I thank the reviewer for valuable comments. Yazıda konu ile ilgili referanslar tercih edilmiş ve 1 self-cited reference kullanılmıştır. Yazı dili ve grameri tekrar gözden geçirilmiştir. Yazının başlığı 18 kelimedenden daha az olduğundan başlık değiştirilmemiştir. Author Contributions" section tamamlanmış ve Önerilere paralel olarak manuscript'e konu ile ilgili bir resim eklenmiştir.