Brugada syndrome associated with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest：A case report

Reviewers：

The authors would humbly like to thank the respective reviewers for thoroughly reviewing our manuscript. Thank you very much for your important comment.

The author has answered the questions one by one according to the requirements of the reviewers, and has made careful modifications to the manuscript and figures as follows：

Reviewer #1:

1. Normal values of blood chemical parameters (and possible others) should be added following each measured parameter, e.g., CK-MB 75 IU/L (normal….). This will be useful for non-clinical readers.

We added all of normal values of blood chemical parameters.

2. Final diagnosis and differential diagnosis look similar. It should show only differential diagnosis since all history and clinical investigation were reported.

We deleted the final diagnosis.

3. Discussion is too long. The first paragraph that repeated the introduction should be deleted. The previous reports should be minimal. I would suggest the authors revise the discussion to include what new or specific clinical findings and interventions compared to previous reports. If possible, the possible linkage among Brugada syndrome and other clinical abnormalities of this patient should be added.

We removed the content similar to introduction.

We revised the discussion, compared and contrasted the findings of the case report's with the literature review, and explained the similarities and differences between the case report and the literature.

4. Conclusion should indicate what new or specific clinical findings and interventions of this case did.

We revised the conclusion and summarize the salient features of the case report.

5. English should be carefully rechecked and revised.

To meet the requirements of academic publishing, we used language editing services provided by the biomedical editing companies, and rechecked English carefully.

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

6. Academic norms and rules: The authors didn't provide the written informed consent of treatment. The document is wrongly submitted. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search.

We provided the written informed consent of treatment again.

7. The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions

We added the Author Contributions.

8. The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

We provided the original figure documents using PowerPoint.

9. Please click and download the Format for authorship, institution, and corresponding author guidelines, and further check if the authors names and institutions meet the requirements of the journal.

We downloaded the guidelines, and checked the authors names and institutions.

10. Upload the Revision Files: For all required accompanying documents

We upload all required accompanying documents.