PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 65744

Title: Risk factors for relapse and nomogram for relapse probability prediction in patients with minor ischemic stroke

Reviewer's code: 05207387

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-15 04:56

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-19 02:23

Review time: 3 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for recommending me as a reviewer. This paper aim to develop a nomogram for individualized prediction of hospitalization recurrence in MIS patients. This study is well written overall, and the results are interesting. If the authors complete minor revisions, the quality of the study will be further improved.   1. The introduction section is well written. If the author describes the theoretical explanation of the nomogram or the tendency of previous studies more specifically, it can help readers understand.   2, page 6: Data collection- Authors should describe the measurement and definition of the variable in more detail. It is also advisable to present it in a table.   3. page 6: Statistical analysis has been well described in detail.   4. A more specific description of the discussion section can help readers understand.