

Response to reviewers

Reviewer #1:

Thank you for recommending me as a reviewer. This paper aims to develop a nomogram for individualized prediction of hospitalization recurrence in MIS patients. This study is well written overall, and the results are interesting. If the authors complete minor revisions, the quality of the study will be further improved.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the overall positive comments and the manuscript was edited and improved according to your valuable comments. In addition, the language of the manuscript was further edited by a native English speaker, and now it is more readable.

1. The introduction section is well written. If the author describes the theoretical explanation of the nomogram or the tendency of previous studies more specifically, it can help readers understand.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the comments. The introduction was improved by addition of two examples of nomogram usage in clinics.

2. page 6: Data collection- Authors should describe the measurement and definition of the variable in more detail. It is also advisable to present it in a table.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the comments. Due to the nature of retrospective study, all data were extracted from medical charts, therefore, the measurement of the variables was not applicable in the present study. The definition was cleared as requested and we think the variables are now understandable. The presentation of the variables in

the main text is probably more readable and we hope it is acceptable to keep the original form.

3. page 6: Statistical analysis has been well described in detail.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the positive comment.

4. A more specific description of the discussion section can help readers understand.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the kind reminding. To emphasize the clinical significance of the present study, the nomogram application in clinical practice was further discussed.