

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 65787

Title: Autism with dysphasia accompanied by mental retardation caused by FOX Y exon deletion: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02600145

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-30 09:11

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-30 11:26

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case report is dedicated to an unusual mutation in the FOXP1 gene (large intragenic deletion) associated with autism with dysphasia accompanied by mental retardation. In general, it is quite an interesting case report. However, there are major revisions to be made prior a more positive decision would become reachable. Firstly, the manuscript lacks appropriate description and discussion of the mutation/deletion in the FOXP1 gene. There is an inevitable requirement for detailed description of the mutation: exact genomic localization of breakpoints and exact size in bp; the extent to which exons 6 and 21 are deleted. Another inevitable requirement is referred to discussions of the peculiarities of the mutation: genotype-phenotype correlations in the light of previous reports (e.g. what are the mutations associated with milder and more severe phenotypes in the light of this case report); correlating own data with cases of mutations (missense or whatever) in exons 6-21 of the FOXP1 gene (if exist). Actually, manuscript's table is extremely poorly discussed (described). Hypothesizing functional outcomes of the mutation at protein level are optional. A general recommendation: authors have to pay more attention to the genetic dimension/context of their report. Some textual and presentational omissions are to be curated. Most strikingly, the title of the report does not actually describe the content; the title is too general lacking the indication to the essential finding. Introduction does not correspond to the manuscript content. There is a need to put introduction to the context of the main finding - mutation in the FOXP1 gene. The phrase "The Fox genome is a large and highly conservative family of transcription factors" is quite strange. What is meant, the genome of fox (vulpes)? This is to be corrected.