

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Omental mass combined with indirect inguinal hernia led to an epididymal mass: A case report and literature review" (Manuscript NO.: 66134, Case Report). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. Revised portion are marked in red in the paper. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as followings:

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1:

I suggest modifying the title of the manuscript in accordance to this (Omental mass combined with indirect inguinal hernia led to an scrotal mass: A case report and literature review OR Omental neoplasm initially diagnosed as an epididymal mass: A case report and literature review). It would also be interesting to describe whether a surgical mesh was placed in the inguinal canal during the proceure.

Responds:

It is really true as Reviewer suggested that the title should modified. We also describe surgical mesh was placed in the inguinal canal during the proceure in the revised manuscript.

Science Editor:

(1) The authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s);
(2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Responds:

We have made corrections according to the Editor's suggestions.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in the red in revised paper.

We appreciate for Editors and Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.