

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: <u>17</u>

Manuscript word count: 4637

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology	
	Manuscript NO.: 66144	
	Column: Opinion Review	
	Title: Serologic diagnosis of celiac disease: May it be suitable for	
	adults?	
1	Authors: Giuseppe Losurdo, Milena Di Leo, Edoardo Santamato,	[Y]
	Monica Arena, Maria Rendina, Carmelo Luigiano, Enzo Ierardi	
	and Alfredo Di Leo	
	Reviewer code: 03742189, 02444986, 05458182, and 03742189	
	First decision: 2021-04-29 22:26	
	Scientific Editor: Ya-Juan Ma	
	Date of signature: <u>9/29/2021</u> (month/day/year)	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a	
2	frontier of the serologic diagnosis of celiac disease. The topic is	[Y]
	within the scope of the WJG. (1) Classification: Grade B, Grade B	[1]
	and Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors	
	concisely reviewed the issue of diagnosing celiac disease (CD)	



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

without duodenal biopsy in adult patients based on most recent literature. It adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study. However, the questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There is 1 table. (4) References: A total of 48 references are cited, including 10 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There are 7 self-cited references. The self-referencing rates should be less than 10%. Please keep the reasonable self-citations that are closely related to the topic of the manuscript, and remove other improper self-citations. If the authors fail to address the critical issue of self-citation, the editing process of this manuscript will be terminated; and (6) References recommend: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by peer reviewer(s), especially the references published by the peer reviewer(s) themselves. If the authors found the peer reviewer(s) request the authors to cite improper references published by themselves, please send the peer reviewer's ID number to the editorialoffice@wjgnet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade A, Grade B and Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJG. 5 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.



	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics	
	documents, all of which have met the basic publishing	
	requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the	
	manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript	
	to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review	
	Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for	
	Manuscript Revision by Authors.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	-
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are	[Y]
	avoided. The title does not start with 'The' and does not include	
	any Arabic numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are	
	listed in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work;	
7	Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed	[Y]
	the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM	
	performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new	
	reagents and analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed	
	the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript.	
	All authors have read and approve the final manuscript."	
L		



8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[N]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g.,	
9	MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i> , Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	
10	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding	[Y]
	editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic	
11	Highlight: Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor: Non-	[Y]
	structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words);	
	RESULTS (no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more	
	than 26 words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
13	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	[Y]
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	[1]
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	



	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun	
	Sick Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon	
	JM, Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World	
	J Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or	
	defined, with the full name of abbreviations given upon first	
15	appearance in the text and the abbreviation presented in	[Y]
	parentheses [i.e., "computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person	
	pronouns (e.g., 'I', 'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate	
	the work performed by the author(s). When weaknesses of	
	previous studies are described in the text to highlight the	
	innovations related to the current study, the information is	
	presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and	
10	accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the	5 . XX
16	data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat	[N]
	the study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
17	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	[N]
	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but	L 13
	is not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables	
L	1	



	and figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is	
	expressed as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to	
10	be denoted). If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $^{c}P < 0.05$ and $^{d}P < 0.05$	
18	0.01 are used, and a third series of P values is expressed as $eP <$	[Y]
	0.05 and ${}^{f}P$ < 0.01. Statistical data is expressed as mean ± SD or	
	mean ± SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important	
	results; (3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply	
	repeat the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the	
19	results; (4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact	[N]
	on the results, as well as proposes further advice on future	
	research topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical	
	significance and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (<i>i.e.</i> , providing	
	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (<i>i.e.</i> ,	[N]
	useful inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any	
	other auxiliary work.	
	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[N]



	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> ").	
	For citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number	
22	should be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples	[Y]
	are: "Ma ^[1] reported,", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a	
	high sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]."	
	The style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the	
	text (<i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
_	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	5
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name	[Y]
	of journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type,	[Y] [Y] [Y]
	as follows:	
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
24	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	[Y]
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	[Y]
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	



26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are	
	present at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor:	[Y]
	Wang JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, ×, ÷, *) correctly correspond to the definitions in	
	the footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel	5 2/1
27	figure consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line	[Y]
	graphs that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[N]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
20	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	5 273
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent	[Y]
30	with the changes made to the manuscript.	
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript No	
	Review; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
01	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	5 × 1
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript No	
	Answering reviewers; e.g., 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as	
32	(1) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of	
	any approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant	
	application form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest	
	statement (file name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest	
	statement); (4) Clinical trial registration statement (file name:	



	Manuscript NoClinical trial registration statement); (5)	
	Institutional review board approval form or document (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement); (6)	
	Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or	
	document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional animal care	
	and use committee statement), and (7) Signed informed consent	
	form(s) or document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoInformed	
	consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g., 870-	[Y]
	Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
24	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	L 371
34	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language	[N]
	certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
25	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript No	
35	Agreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for use	[N]
	of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting	
37	unoriginal content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's	
	integrity and the authors' copyright) has been performed for the	
	manuscript via the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The	
	results document contains the following information for the	[Y]
	manuscript: "Name of journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns",	
	"Title" and "Author list". The Figure of the CrossCheck results is	
	saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at 1440 × 680 pixel resolution. The PDF	
	of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has been saved under the file name:	
L	1	



	manuscript No CrossCheck report (e.g., 870-CrossCheck report).	
	The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure	
	publication of original content.	
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt,	
	with 1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include careful	ly checking the
Decreativities	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) erro	rs in spelling,
Responsibilities	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figur	es, figure data
of scientific	and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, \div , %,	
editors	*) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive r	revision of the
	manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.	
	Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientifi	c editor→Peer
	review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evalu	uation→To be
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting eva	luation→To be
	accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief	(final quality
Publication	control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final ad	cceptance and
process	charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by	
-	scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-	
	Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form	n on the BPG
	website \rightarrow Release of online papers on PubMed Central \rightarrow Delivery	of high-quality
	PDF reprints to the author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	•