

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 66145

Title: Assessing the accuracy of arthroscopic and open measurements of the size of rotator cuff tears: A simulation-based study.

Reviewer's code: 05234767

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MS

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Greece

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-21

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-28 18:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-06 18:19

Review time: 8 Days and 23 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The aim in abstract should be modified for better understanding. 2. Is there a possibility that the errors made in measurement are not due to observer variation but due to the arthroscopic instrument used ? Rest is fine and well written manuscript.