

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 66174

Title: Suture ligation for submucosal hemostasis during hand-sewn side-to-side duodeno-ileostomy in simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation

Reviewer's code: 03660289

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-22 20:26

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-24 17:12

Review time: 1 Day and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a quite interesting and well-organized paper investigating the role of suture ligation (SL) for submucosal hemostasis during duodeno-ileostomy in pancreas transplantation. The authors found that SL decreases bleeding without increasing anastomotic leakage. I have the following issues: * How many SPKT were performed in the authors' center during the study period? How many were excluded from the study and for what reasons? * This is a retrospective study. The authors should specify if there are elements that could have influenced the decision to perform NSL or SL. This is important to exclude any possible selection bias. In the Methods, the authors say that the surgical team who performed NSL had a higher experience in SPKT than that who performed SL. Can the authors comment on this? * The authors should specify if duodenal decontamination was performed routinely during pancreas procurement. * The authors should specify if the 2 groups received the same anticoagulation prophylaxis.