

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 66185

Title: Determination of gluten immunogenic peptides for the management of the treatment adherence of celiac disease: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 02941677

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Full Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-22 15:32

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-27 11:47

Review time: 4 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper deals with a narrative review of the literature meeting the clinical utility of GIP determination compared to the available tools in use. I read the paper with interest. It is well written, an enjoyable reading. The title is appropriate, the quality of references is high. The manuscript summarize all the existing literature on the clinical use of GIP. The conclusion is consistent with the current knowledge. I have only a few suggestion. I recommend the authors to describe their paper as a 'narrative review', and to add a table summarizing the existing studies on GIP (authors, setting and n. of participants, kind of test , outcome) which could l be very useful for the readers.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 66185

Title: Determination of gluten immunogenic peptides for the management of the treatment adherence of celiac disease: A systematic review

Reviewer's code: 01313698

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-22

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-27 16:48

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-27 16:57

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an important issue for patients and treating physicians. The review is well structured and has sufficiently considered all published data.