

We thank the reviewers for their helpful critiques to our manuscript. In response to their critiques we have made substantial changes to this manuscript. For each point, the reviewer comments are in italics and our response in regular text.

Reviewer: 1

*Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)*

*Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)*

*Conclusion: Accept (High priority)*

*Specific Comments to Authors: I think that the authors has replaced the wrong images (Figure 3 A, B, C are correct images, so the authors kept the original pictures and Figure 3 D,E,F are wrong images, so they replaced them) with correct ones . This technical error does not change the meaning of the picture or hinder the conclusion of the article. I agree this decision.*

We thank the reviewer for this decision to accept the manuscript.

Reviewer: 2

*Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)*

*Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)*

*Conclusion: Minor revision*

*Specific Comments to Authors: The replace of the pictures in Figure 3 has no problem. However, It concerns me that the authors do not understand the difference between adenovirus and lentivirus. Maybe they used lentivirus vectors, since transfection by adenovirus is transient so that screening with puromycin for 3 weeks is impossible. I recommend the authors also to correct the manuscript and caption for Figure 3.*

We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We only used the lentivirus in the experiment. We're sorry that the "adenovirus" was a typo mistake in writing. We mostly used the "lentivirus" in the manuscript. The "adenovirus" should be revised to "lentivirus" in P9497 right column, line 11 and line 27; P9498 right column, line 26; P9499 right column, line 47; Figure 3 and Figure 4 captions.