



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 66247

Title: Optimization of transdisciplinary management of elderly with Femur Proximal Extremity Fracture: a patient-tailored plan from orthopaedics to rehabilitation

Reviewer's code: 05928806

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-25 05:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-27 08:22

Review time: 2 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is very well organized and will definitely be beneficial to readers. However, in order to highlight the importance of this issue to more than just orthopedic surgeons, it might be a good idea to mention dementia as well. Some geriatricians may find it difficult to provide ongoing rehabilitation and osteoporosis treatment for patients with a history of dementia. If you can add that rehabilitation and osteoporosis treatment is also useful for patients with proximal femur fractures with dementia, I think this paper will have even more impact.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 66247

Title: Optimization of transdisciplinary management of elderly with Femur Proximal Extremity Fracture: a patient-tailored plan from orthopaedics to rehabilitation

Reviewer's code: 03518978

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-27 14:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-05 20:38

Review time: 9 Days and 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper tried to summarize current evidence supporting transdisciplinary management of patients with FFPE, highlighting the benefits, feasibility, and limitations of this approach. Generally, this is an important clinical related topic. However, there are a few concerns that need to be addressed: 1. FFPE were too broad and general. It probably includes femur head fractures, femur neck fractures, intertrochanteric fractures, subtrochanteric fractures, and proximal femur shaft fractures, etc. Each one has several types. The best treatment methods should be variable and individualized. It was hard to provide a very pertinence and appropriate guidance for the clinical practice if mixing them together. 2. This paper didn't follow a specific format. It lacked detailed data and synthesis of results.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 66247

Title: Optimization of transdisciplinary management of elderly with Femur Proximal Extremity Fracture: a patient-tailored plan from orthopaedics to rehabilitation

Reviewer's code: 05928806

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-09 07:05

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-09 09:44

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

The author revised the paper according to my comments. There is no doubt that the content is worthy of publish.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 66247

Title: Optimization of transdisciplinary management of elderly with Femur Proximal Extremity Fracture: a patient-tailored plan from orthopaedics to rehabilitation

Reviewer's code: 03518978

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-23

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-09 13:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 13:11

Review time: 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Although the authors have made some improvements, it's better to add some limitations for the following concerns in the Discussion section. Thanks! 1. FFPE were too broad and general. It probably includes femur head fractures, femur neck fractures, intertrochanteric fractures, subtrochanteric fractures, and proximal femur shaft fractures, etc. Each one has several types. The best treatment methods should be variable and individualized. It was hard to provide a very pertinence and appropriate guidance for the clinical practice if mixing them together. 2. This paper didn't follow a specific format. It lacked detailed data and synthesis of results.