

Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



June 10, 2021

Dear Editors,

On behalf of all the authors, I would like to thank you for your consideration of this paper. In the revised manuscript you will find the changes that we made in response to the Reviewers. In this response to reviewer letter we also indicated how we have dealt with the Reviewers' comments.

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format.

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

Manuscript Type: MINIREVIEW

Current trends and perspectives in interventional radiology for gastrointestinal cancers

Elisa Reitano, Nicola de'Angelis, Giorgio Bianchi, Letizia Laera, Stavros Spiliopoulos, Roberto, Calbi, Riccardo Memeo, Riccardo Inchingolo

Invited Manuscript ID: 03358964

Manuscript NO.: 66261

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewer and Editorial Office's Comments. As suggested by the Company Editor-in-Chief, we modified the format from Frontiers Article to Minireview, and we submitted to WJR

Moreover, there was a mismatch among the reviewers' opinion about the language quality of the paper.

Anyway a new and deep revision by a Native speaker English has been done.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Major Revision

1. Lots of grammatical and sentence structuring errors. Needs correction!! 2. Please check with journal guidelines if author biography is needed, otherwise please remove the biography of the author 3. Please confirm the number of references allowed per article. I feel you have lots of references and particularly reference number "5" is too long.

A: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your comment. We have checked and modified all your raised point in "specific comment to the authors" file.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Minor Revision

I would like to thank the authors for their scientific efforts. It has been reviewed on interventional radiology in gastrointestinal cancers. The article generally looks good in terms of spelling and language. This review article provides some interesting information. I think the following points should be addressed: (1) covered stent for arterial hemorrhage from pseudoaneurysm, which may accomplish both complete hemostasis and arterial flow preservation, (2) duodenal or rectal stent placement in malignant obstruction.

A: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your comment. We have added the 2 missing point you suggested.

Reviewer #3:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Rejection

The authors summarize the current role for interventional radiology in the management of gastrointestinal cancers.

A: This is a really short and meaningless revision, that does not give much sense to your conclusion (rejection). I would expect some more explanations to your decision. Anyway, I accept it, but do not share it.

Alberto Ferrarese:

This was a review on the role of interventional radiology for diagnosis and treatment of GI cancers. The paper has been submitted for the "Frontier" Section. Three Reviewers read the manuscript. Scientific quality C, C, C. Language Quality: A, A, C. Recommendation: Minor Rev., Major Rev, Rejection. The non-English speaker certificate has been provided. The COI disclosure should be added. One Reviewer said that there are typos throughout the manuscript. They should be corrected through a deep revision of the entire paper. The paper has been submitted for "Frontier" section, however, as mentioned by one Reviewer, it describes the current state of the art about interventional radiology for diagnosis and management of GI cancers. Therefore, I would suggest to change the layout of the manuscript from "Frontier" section to "Minireview". The first part may be deleted, accordingly. Conclusions: I suggest potential acceptance only after major revision (including the change of manuscript layout). Alternatively, it should be considered for Journal of GI Oncology.

A: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your comment.

Company Editor in Chief

I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Radiology.

A: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your comment.

Finally, we wish to thank the Editor and the Reviewers for their comments that helped us to increase the value of our paper.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Radiology*.

Sincerely yours,

Riccardo Inchingolo, MD, EBIR, CIRSE Fellow

Interventional Radiology Unit,

"F. Miulli" Regional General Hospital,

Acquaviva delle Fonti (BA), 70021, Italy

riccardoin@hotmail.it

Tel: +39-333-4601735

Fax: +39-0835-253857