PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: Artificial Intelligence in Cancer

Manuscript NO: 66292

Title: Artificial Intelligence and Colorectal cancer: how far can we go?

Reviewer's code: 05232375

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, MSc

Professional title: Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-24

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-25 01:02

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-25 12:11

Review time: 11 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Alloro and Sinagra showed their views on this editorial on AI and colorectal cancer, especially in CRC diagnosis and therapy. - An editorial requires a concise writing strategy and does not require a "traditional" (abstract-introduction-main text-conclusion) essay format to comments on a specific area. Therefore, in this editorial, the general background information should be concise and discuss the application straight ahead. - A substantial revision is required to re-organise the whole manuscript and English writing before the second review.