

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 66382

Title: Pathological response to neoadjuvant therapy with chemotherapy (Ch) versus Ch-radiotherapy in NSCLC stage III. Contribution of IASLC recommendations.

Reviewer's code: 05227913

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-26 21:44

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-03 20:04

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, Thank you for your successful surgical results and this study that will contribute to the literature. 1. Have your patients undergone preoperative restaging? Otherwise, was it just not progressing enough in terms of surgical indication? 2. How were the patients evaluated in terms of respiratory functions in the preoperative period? eg pulmonary function test, cardiopulmonary exercise test or DLCO test? 3. How many cases have you had preferred sleeve resection to avoid pneumonectomy?