# World Journal of *Hepatology*

World J Hepatol 2022 January 27; 14(1): 1-303





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J H World Journal of Hepatology

# Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 1 January 27, 2022

# **REVIEW**

1	Hepatitis C virus: A critical approach to who really needs treatment
	Kouroumalis E, Voumvouraki A
45	Current aspects of renal dysfunction after liver transplantation
	Pacheco MP, Carneiro-D'Albuquerque LA, Mazo DF
62	Hepatitis C: Problems to extinction and residual hepatic and extrahepatic lesions after sustained virological response
	Cuesta-Sancho S, Márquez-Coello M, Illanes-Álvarez F, Márquez-Ruiz D, Arizcorreta A, Galán-Sánchez F, Montiel N, Rodriguez-Iglesias M, Girón-González JA
80	Metabolic and nutritional triggers associated with increased risk of liver complications in SARS-CoV-2
	de Jesus RP, de Carvalho JF, de Oliveira LPM, Cunha CM, Alves TCHS, Vieira STB, Figueiredo VM, Bueno AA
98	Recent updates on progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis types 1, 2 and 3: Outcome and therapeutic strategies
	Alam S, Lal BB
119	Is there a role of lipid-lowering therapies in the management of fatty liver disease?
	Tzanaki I, Agouridis AP, Kostapanos MS
	MINIREVIEWS
140	Targets of immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: An update
	Rai V, Mukherjee S
158	Redefining non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to metabolic associated fatty liver disease: Is this plausible?
	Devi J, Raees A, Butt AS
168	Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1: A potential target for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease?-perspective on emerging experimental evidence
	Jeyakumar SM, Vajreswari A
180	Mitochondrial hepatopathy: Anticipated difficulties in management of fatty acid oxidation defects and urea cycle defects
	Ravindranath A, Sarma MS
	ORIGINAL ARTICLE
	Retrospective Cohort Study

Lourenço MS, Zitelli PMY, Cunha-Silva M, Oliveira AIN, Oliveira CP, Sevá-Pereira T, Carrilho FJ, Pessoa MG, Mazo DF



in Brazil

<u> </u>	World Journal of Hepato					
Conten	ts Monthly Volume 14 Number 1 January 27, 2022					
209	Prognostic factors of survival and a new scoring system for liver resection of colorectal liver metastasis <i>Cheng KC, Yip ASM</i>					
	Retrospective Study					
224	Short-term outcomes of robotic liver resection: An initial single-institution experience					
	Durán M, Briceño J, Padial A, Anelli FM, Sánchez-Hidalgo JM, Ayllón MD, Calleja-Lozano R, García-Gaitan C					
234	Assessment for the minimal invasiveness of laparoscopic liver resection by interleukin-6 and thrombospondin-1					
	Kaida T, Hayashi H, Sato H, Kinoshita S, Matsumoto T, Shiraishi Y, Kitano Y, Higashi T, Imai K, Yamashita YI, Baba H					
244	Can the computed tomography texture analysis of colorectal liver metastases predict the response to first- line cytotoxic chemotherapy?					
	Rabe E, Cioni D, Baglietto L, Fornili M, Gabelloni M, Neri E					
260	Correlation of hepatitis B surface antigen expression with clinicopathological and biochemical parameters in liver biopsies: A comprehensive study					
	Alpsoy A, Adanir H, Bayramoglu Z, Elpek GO					
	Observational Study					
274	COVID-19 emergency: Changes in quality of life perception in patients with chronic liver disease-An Italian single-centre study					
	Zannella A, Fanella S, Marignani M, Begini P					
	CASE REPORT					
287	Acute liver failure secondary to acute antibody mediated rejection after compatible liver transplant: A case report					
	Robinson TJ, Hendele JB, Gimferrer I, Leca N, Biggins SW, Reyes JD, Sibulesky L					
	LETTER TO THE EDITOR					
295	Vitamin D supplementation for autoimmune hepatitis: A need for further investigation					
	Sergi CM					
300	Current highlights on solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas					
	Sibio S, Di Carlo S					



# Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 1 January 27, 2022

# **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Hepatology, Fátima Higuera-de la Tijera, MD, MSc, PhD, Academic Research, Doctor, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital General de México, Dr. Eduardo Liceaga, Mexico City 06726, Mexico. fatimahiguera@yahoo.com.mx

# **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Hepatology (WJH, World J Hepatol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WIH mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including chronic cholestatic liver diseases, cirrhosis and its complications, clinical alcoholic liver disease, drug induced liver disease autoimmune, fatty liver disease, genetic and pediatric liver diseases, hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic stellate cells and fibrosis, liver immunology, liver regeneration, hepatic surgery, liver transplantation, biliary tract pathophysiology, non-invasive markers of liver fibrosis, viral hepatitis.

# **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJH is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of Science), Scopus, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database. The 2021 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2020 Journal Citation Indicator (JCI) for WJH as 0.61. The WJH's CiteScore for 2020 is 5.6 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2020: Hepatology is 24/62.

# **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Lin-YuTong Wang; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Xiang Li.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS	
World Journal of Hepatology	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204	
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS	
ISSN 1948-5182 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287	
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH	
October 31, 2009	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240	
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS	
Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288	
<b>EDITORS-IN-CHIEF</b>	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT	
Nikolaos Pyrsopoulos, Ke-Qin Hu, Koo Jeong Kang	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208	
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE	
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242	
PUBLICATION DATE January 27, 2022	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239	
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION	
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com	

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J H World Journal of Henatology Hepatology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Hepatol 2022 January 27; 14(1): 158-167

DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v14.i1.158

ISSN 1948-5182 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

# Redefining non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to metabolic associated fatty liver disease: Is this plausible?

Jalpa Devi, Aimun Raees, Amna Subhan Butt

ORCID number: Jalpa Devi 0000000187102381; Aimun Raees 00000315107073; Amna Subhan Butt 0000-0002-7311-4055.

Author contributions: Butt AS was the invited author: Butt AS conceived the idea and developed the outline of the manuscript; Devi J, Raees A, and Butt AS contributed to literature search, review, and manuscript writing; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Country/Territory of origin: Pakistan

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peerreviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

# Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was

Jalpa Devi, Department of Gastroenterology, Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences, Jamshoro 74800, Pakistan

Aimun Raees, Amna Subhan Butt, Department of Gastroenterology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi 74800, Pakistan

Corresponding author: Amna Subhan Butt, FCPS, MBBS, MSc, Associate Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Aga Khan University Hospital, Stadium Road, Karachi 74800, Pakistan. amna.subhan@aku.edu

# Abstract

Recently, a single letter change has taken the world by storm. A group of experts have developed a consensus to upgrade the term non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) to metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), suggesting that MAFLD would more accurately reflect not only the disease pathogenesis but would also help in patient stratification for management with NAFLD. However, the difference of opinion exists, which has made the NAFLD vs MAFLD debate the current talk of the town. This review will focus on the plausibility and implications of redefining NAFLD as MAFLD.

Key Words: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; Metabolic associated fatty liver disease; Fatty liver disease; Non-alcoholic fatty liver; Review

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** A group of experts have recently developed a consensus towards redefining non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), suggestive of a more accurate differential diagnosis and signifying the exact disease pathogenesis to achieve higher patient stratification and delivery of better care to patients with NAFLD while avoiding stigmatization due to the presence of the word 'alcohol', particularly in regions where alcohol consumption is a taboo for cultural and religious reasons. However, differences in experts' opinions considering the implications of redefining NAFLD as MAFLD still hold strong. Therefore, this review article focuses on the plausibleness and implications of redefining NAFLD as MAFLD.



selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt ps://creativecommons.org/Licens es/by-nc/4.0/

Received: March 27, 2021 Peer-review started: March 27, 2021 First decision: June 7, 2021 Revised: June 17, 2021 Accepted: December 22, 2021 Article in press: December 22, 2021 Published online: January 27, 2022

P-Reviewer: Menichelli D, Treeprasertsuk S
S-Editor: Liu M
L-Editor: Wang TQ
P-Editor: Liu M



**Citation:** Devi J, Raees A, Butt AS. Redefining non-alcoholic fatty liver disease to metabolic associated fatty liver disease: Is this plausible? *World J Hepatol* 2022; 14(1): 158-167 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5182/full/v14/i1/158.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v14.i1.158

# INTRODUCTION

The world has seen steady progress in the awareness of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) owing to its rising prevalence, yet there has been no worthwhile advancement in treatment and management and or breakthrough in the therapeutic field. A great part of this shortcoming has always been linked to the inadequacy of the term NAFLD, as it fails to describe the underlying metabolic factors of the disorder, instead shedding undue light on the etiology which is in actual unrelated to the core pathology, *i.e.*, alcohol. To overcome this problem, many scientists have joined hands and suggested an up-gradation in nomenclature. Hence, the term metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) has been under a great uproar for the past couple of years.

# NAFLD – AT A GLANCE

NAFLD is a chronic disorder encompassing a spectrum of liver diseases characterized by excessive intrahepatic fat deposition, leading to hepatocyte ballooning injury in the absence of a known cause such as excessive alcohol consumption, viral hepatitis, medications, or a hereditary disorder[1]. It is further classified into NAFL when there is > 5% liver steatosis with no evidence of hepatocyte injury or fibrosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) when there are > 5% liver steatosis and ballooning of hepatocytes with or without liver fibrosis. NASH may lead to complications such as cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, and increase liver-related mortality as fibrosis advances. Risk factors associated with fibrosis are old age, diabetes mellitus, obesity, hypertension, and degree of insulin resistance[2].

The nomenclature was introduced in 1980 by a pathologist, Jurgen Ludwig, who used the term NAFLD and NASH to describe the histological findings found in a series of 20 patients[3]. The introduction of the term was based on marked similarities between alcoholic steatohepatitis and NASH. Later, Kleiner *et al*[4] proposed the NAS score to evaluate changes in histological features individually to grade and stage the disease. A decade later, Bedossa *et al*[5] came up with an algorithm to diagnose NASH. However, none of these scores could predict future clinical outcomes in patients with NAFLD.

The prevalence of NAFLD is on the rise in the last three decades in the United States, reaching about 20%-30%, mirroring the steady increase in obesity globally[2,6]. It has become the second most common cause of liver transplantation in Western countries, which is quite alarming[7]. Despite the dangerously rising prevalence, awareness regarding the disease burden is still not up to the mark. This is also reflected by the lack of availability of a non-invasive diagnostic test. The only way to make a definitive diagnosis of NAFLD and assess its severity is a liver biopsy, which is invasive and costly, leading to delays in the final diagnosis[1]. Unfortunately, the therapeutic field has also not seen any breakthrough and to date, there is no FDAapproved pharmacotherapy available. The treatment solely relies on lifestyle modifications with regular exercise and dietary changes with weight loss being the goal of therapy<sup>[8]</sup>. This requires a good deal of cooperation on part of the patient with a large part of the therapeutic responsibility on the patient himself/herself, making the entire ordeal quite difficult since switching to and long-term sustenance of such a drastic lifestyle is quite arduous. Various agents have been used in addition to lifestyle modification, ranging from pioglitazone and vitamin E (antioxidant) to ursodeoxycholic acid, and while some drugs have been able to reduce liver fat content such as pinositol, vitamin E, and obeticholic acid, none of these had an impact on NASH or fibrosis; on the other hand, adverse effects and long-term safety from the use of these drugs have remained a major concern[9,10].

Zaishideng® WJH | https://www.wjgnet.com

# THE RISE OF MAFLD

Inappropriate nomenclature of any disorder has an overall impact on its perception both by patients and physicians. It may lead to confusion and the development of mistrust between patients and their treating doctors, thus affecting overall outcomes. It is also difficult for patients to understand the magnitude of the problem when the disease terminology is based on a negative term. For instance, establishing a diagnosis of NAFLD requires exclusion of significant alcohol consumption while ironically, there is no accepted cut-off limit for significant alcohol consumption. On the other hand, less alcohol use may also be affiliated with hepatic steatosis and fibrosis progression in NAFLD patients. The current term does not provide any relevant information on what the condition is, rather it represents what it is not!

A significant concern lies in the stigmatization of the disease due to the presence of the word 'alcohol', particularly in regions where alcohol consumption is a taboo for cultural and religious reasons. It exerts a psychological strain on the patient to the existing clinical spectrum of the disease. In such cases, specific inquiries on intake of alcohol may be misinterpreted, adversely affecting the doctor-patient relationship. On the other hand, trivialization is a commonly faced problem with the current terminology as patients rely on quitting alcohol consumption solely to curb the disease progression and are reluctant for any other essentially needed lifestyle or behavioral modifications.

Advancements in the field to curb the existing dilemma are largely affected by the sub-optimal allocation of funding, resulting from a lack of awareness of disease severity and complications. It is expected that a change in nomenclature may be able to deal with the negative consequences, proving to be a catalyst to accelerate funding and health policy action.

Over the years, research has proven that NAFLD is an inadequate term to describe liver disease associated with metabolic dysfunction, as it fails to emphasize the most important and commonly noted etiology of metabolic dysfunction. To address these issues, researchers and societies have become inclined to the idea of changing the terminology. In 2005, Loria *et al* proposed to introduce positive criteria to define NAFLD[11]. Subsequently, in 2018, the European Liver Patient's Association asked for a change in nomenclature owing to the limitations of existing terminology[12]. Eslam *et al*[13] in 2019 suggested updating the term and appealed to consider a more accurate term to define the disease.

The basic reason calling for this substantial shift in terminology was to improve patient awareness and to overcome the challenges in management which seemed to be associated with the term NAFLD. After several modifications in search of the most appropriate terminology, the term MAFLD was coined, as it was most reflective of the most likely associations of the disease. The suggested criteria include the presence of hepatic steatosis radiologically or histologically in obese or overweight individuals. While in lean individuals who are found to have hepatic steatosis, evidence of two or more metabolic risk factors is mandatory as shown in Figure 1. Besides involving a large spectrum of diseases, the term MAFLD also acknowledges the presence of multiple overlapping causes and drivers of the disease, thus rendering it as a hepatic manifestation of a multi-system disease. A recent study illustrated that metabolic syndrome is a more deleterious cardiovascular risk factor than NAFLD, which could represent an epiphenomenon of the metabolic syndrome itself[14]. The change in terminology is not only expected to raise awareness at both patients' and physicians' levels but also gain attention from the funding agencies and other major stakeholders, eventually leading to an acceleration in advancement in the field of diagnosis and disease therapy.

Although the new term MAFLD is expected to deal with several issues posed by the term NALFD, it may have to face challenges of its own. While MAFLD is a flexible term and may encompass a variety of disorders, it is important to note that there is no fixed criterion to describe metabolic dysfunction and there remains some ambiguity regarding a complete definition. Also, genetic disorders associated with NAFLD may remain a separate entity as the term MAFLD fails to include that. Therefore, updating the term NAFLD to another umbrella term that does not adequately describe the underlying driving factors comprehensively, may become counterproductive, as this may need another upgrade following a few decades of advancements.



Figure 1 Difference between metabolic associated fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease criteria.

# **OPINION VS EVIDENCE**

Because of the imprecision of the term NAFLD, crucial suggestions have been brought forward by Asian and European researchers to turn it to MAFLD, which can accurately capture the predisposing factor and diminish the exclusion criteria[15]. While upgrading the term may help in improving disease awareness, its impact on other aspects does not seem too promising. For scientific contention, scrutiny and reasoning lie in the evidence-based debate, and recognizing salient characteristics of evidence would be of great value[16,17]. The primary role of metabolic dysfunction makes a pivotal point in the pathophysiology of fatty liver disease[12,13]. Globally, this initiative has been endorsed by the Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver and welcomed by many experts along with patients' organizations[18-25]. On the other hand, a few scholars are skeptical about this change and advocate it as being overhasty[26].

Ever since its proposal, research studies have been carried out to compare the impact of the two terms on the feasibility of diagnosis and overall outcomes of the disease. The very first study by Lin et al<sup>[27]</sup> illustrated that the FIB-4 index and NAFLD fibrosis score were increased in MAFLD criteria and also proposed that the latter is more efficient in diagnosing high-risk patients. An analysis of the recent database (2017-2019) from Japan found that MAFLD was 20% more competent than NAFLD in the diagnosis of fibrosis<sup>[28]</sup>. Another study by Zheng *et al*<sup>[29]</sup> reflected that MAFLD diagnostic criteria were more pertinent even in resource-limited countries and was able to recognize more homogenous groups. Xu et al[30] validated the high diagnostic capability of the fatty liver index in MAFLD patients as a marker of hepatic steatosis, in particular where the use of ultrasound is narrowed. The MAFLD criteria were found more accurate than older NAFLD criteria when analyzing momentous hepatic fibrosis in the patients with cardiovascular disease [31,32], chronic kidney disease<sup>[33]</sup>, and appraisal of genetic risk factors<sup>[34]</sup> in fatty liver disease. It also implies that MAFLD may coexist with other liver diseases, for instance, hepatitis B and C[15,35-37], HIV infection[38], Gaucher[39], and coeliac disease[40], hence making it more plausible than NAFLD. Table 1 shows all the studies comparing the two terms [41-44].

Furthermore, the evolution of genome-wide association has led to the recognition of *PNPLA3* and *TM6SF2*[45] genes that dictate the genetic linkage to pathophysiology and support the diagnostic approach of metabolic syndrome. As it is a genetically co-determined metabolic disorder, this terminology aids patients to comprehend the disease and perhaps boost compliance to lifestyle modification. New studies scrutinizing the involvement of the liver in the prognostication of COVID-19 integrated MAFLD criteria[46-48] identified a significant flaw in the MAFLD definition



### Table 1 Evidence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease vs metabolic associated fatty liver disease

No.	Ref.	Number of participants	Study type	Outcome measures	Result
1	Lin et al[ <mark>27]</mark> , 2020	13083	Cross-sectional, cohort from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys III database	Significant fibrosis	Liver enzymes and the non-invasive liver fibrosis scores were significantly higher in MAFLD compared to NAFLD group ( $P <$ 0.05)
2	Yamamura <i>et al</i> [28], 2020	765	Cross-sectional Japanese cohort	Significant fibrosis	MAFLD (OR = 4.401; 95%CI: 2.144-10.629; <i>P</i> < 0.0001). NAFLD (OR = 1.721; 95%CI: 1.009- 2.951; <i>P</i> = 0.0463)
3	Niriella <i>et al</i> [ <mark>31]</mark> , 2020	2985	A prospective study with 7 years of follow-up	Cardiovascular event CVD (non-fatal + fatal)	Excluded by NAFLD definition but captured by MAFLD definition adjusted OR [8.5 (2.2- 32.8)]. Excluded by MAFLD definition but captured by NAFLD definition Adjusted OR [2.0 (0.2-19.2)]
4	Mak et al [ <mark>15</mark> ], 2020	1134	Cross-sectional chronic hepatitis B and fatty liver	Advanced fibrosis/ cirrhosis	Patients with CHB + MAFLD compared to patients with CHB + NAFLD outside the MAFLD criteria (22.6% $vs$ 11.8%, $P$ = 0.043)
5	Zheng <i>et al</i> [ <mark>29</mark> ], 2021	780	Cohort,(Liver biopsy)	Diagnostic criteria easily applicable even in a resource- limited country	
6	Xu et al[ <mark>30</mark> ], 2020	35335	Cohort	Fatty liver index as a marker of hepatic steatosis	AUROC of FLI for predicting HS was 0.856 (95%CI: 0.854–0.859) in malesand 0.909 (95%CI: 0.906-0.911) in females, which showed a good diagnostic ability
7	Liu et al[ <mark>41</mark> ], 2021	361	Cross-sectional study, HIV and fatty liver disease	A positive correlation between LSM and CAP values was found in the MAFLD group	Prevalence of NAFLD (37.67%) and MAFLD (34.90%) (ALT) level (44.44% vs 16.17%, $P < 0.001$ ) and advanced fibrosis (19.05% vs 2.55%, $P < 0.001$ ) were significantly higher in the MAFLD group
8	Myers <i>et al</i> [42], 2021	920	Population cohort study	The burden of NAFLD and MAFLD associated HCCs increased significantly, driving an increase in HCC incidence, particularly in women.	Proportion of NAFLD-HCC increased more in women (0% to 29%, <i>P</i> = 0.037) than in men (2% to 12%, <i>P</i> = 0.010) while the proportion of MAFLD increased from 21% to 68% in both sexes and 7% to 67% in women ( <i>P</i> < 0.001)
9	Guerreiro <i>et al</i> [43], 2021	1233	Retrospective cross-sectional study	Differences between NAFLD and MAFLD regarding cardiovascular events	MAFLD and NAFLD, CVR was intermediate/high (36.4 and 25.7%, $P =$ 0.209) and CVD occurred in 20.1 and 12.8% ( P = 0.137) of the cases, respectively
10	Ciardullo et al[44], 2021	1710	A cross-sectional study of adults recruited in the 2017- 2018 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, a representative sample of the general United States population.	Significant fibrosis	The weighted prevalence of NAFLD and MAFLD were similar in the whole population at 37.1% (95% CI 34.0-40.4) and 39.1% (95% CI 36.3-42.1) respectively.

NAFLD: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; MAFLD: Metabolic associated fatty liver disease; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CVD: Cardiovascular disease.

> similar to that of NAFLD that is the paucity of knowledge about other relevant pathophysiological drivers except for metabolic risk factors, such as genetic components. Concerns are raised that the term MAFLD, shelters a group of large entities, which leads to increased sensitivity at the cost of low specificity. For instance, NAFLD could be misdiagnosed in metabolically healthy individuals unless we rule out alternative or additional reasons. Similar reasoning applies to lean patients having two or more metabolic risk factors[12,18]. This is why a few others suggested the term dysmetabolism-associated fatty liver disease because of its strong association with dysfunctional metabolism leading to NAFLD and its complications[49]. Lately, on the account of AASLD, Younossi et al[26] articulated that it is premature to rename the terminology due to various reasons; quoting one as, the term MAFLD may not be enough to clearly describe the genetic and environmental associations of the disease, making it yet another umbrella term requiring upgrading after a few decades once more information on the pathophysiology and natural history of the disorder is available. Inclusion of all significant stakeholders such as regulatory agencies and the

patients' organization is also mandatory in the movement of renaming the disease [26]. Mass screening would only be possible once all the scientific and patient care societies are on the same page.

# PROS AND CONS

NAFLD has set its foot in a world full of subspecialties via disseminating knowledge in different educational sessions; it yet lacks the channel of screening in the workup of their patients. To increase awareness and to overcome this roadblock, the liver community needs to engage genuinely with non-hepatologist to design a clinical approach based on the metabolic risk factors.

In contrast to viral hepatitis for which[50] the World Health Organization has developed a Global Health Sector Strategy to eliminate it by 2030, no such agendas are programmed to tackle the burden of NAFLD. Nonetheless, it seems to rise in the coming era and has a marked impact on economic and health losses, as it is the third leading cause of hepatocellular carcinoma and the second indication for liver transplantation in the West. To sum up, any terminology with the prefix 'non' may be considered a non-serious issue reducing its overall significance [51,52]. For the same purpose, there are ongoing efforts to eliminate the 'non' by renaming and reframing non-communicable diseases [53-55]. Within this frame of reference, an attempt should be made to instruct policymakers to allocate funding for research to cope with existing inequalities.

NAFLD like other non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes mellitus and obesity, is often referred to as contrition diseases, insinuating that this develops through personal behavioral choices which often lead to shame and accusation for individuals which hinder their desire to seek help. It is universally endorsed that an important part of treatment is a healthy diet and physically active lifestyle, thus essentially requiring the involvement of patients; however, many patients are not even aware of NAFLD as a disease entity [56,57]. Also, a survey conducted in the United States in 2015 indicated that no more than one-fourth of patients enrolled in the study were acquainted with the disease<sup>[58]</sup>. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey conducted from 2013 to 2016, showed that merely 3.5% of the population had awareness of the disease [59]. Similarly, 'Continuum Clinical' illustrated again that 6% of patients had the know-how of their disease. Various other studies likewise supported these results[60]. Primary care physicians also have insufficient sensitivity for establishing a diagnosis of NAFLD and how it should be assessed[61]. Another study depicted incidental diagnosis of NAFLD at the stage of cirrhosis[62]. Research in 2018 showed that despite expanding incidence, a massive number of patients remained underreported[63]. This lack of public health responses to NAFLD may be attributed to the baffled term alcohol in NAFLD which exerts hurdles in understanding and acceptance of the disease, thus damaging the disease response[64]. In many parts of the world, consumption of alcohol is prohibited due to social and religious norms with even more daunting concerns in children where its use is not well established. In such regions, the term imposes potential stigmatization of the disease. The European Liver Patients Association was not satisfied with the term NAFLD and put forward the thoughts to change the name. This NAFLD acronym also creates perplexity and hampers efficient communication that leads to negative repercussions on the physician-patient relationship. The "non" term in NAFLD also underestimates this deleterious health challenge which might be perceived as a trivial matter or as if, one is authorized to consume alcohol. Embracing the latest term MAFLD and related positive diagnostic criteria may resolve many issues regarding confusion, trivialization, and stigmatization related to the term NAFLD.

# CONCLUSION

To curtail the serious outcomes associated with fatty liver disease, the previously faced challenges must be identified and tackled effectively. To begin with, owing to the heterogeneity of the disease and dynamic histopathology, no diagnostic biomarker is available as yet. This could be expedited by phenotypic classification for which Non-Invasive Biomarkers of Metabolic Liver Disease and Liver Investigation: Testing Marker Utility in Steatohepatitis work vigorously based on the validated biomarkers. Second, the lack of an FDA-approved drug for the management of the disease is already a huge hurdle, and a change in the disease terminology may hamper the



ongoing drug trials. On the other hand, it was expected that the term MAFLD will aid in the refinement of clinical endpoints for future drug trials. However, for a change of the name, it demands vigilant speculation of societal and medical ramifications and real impact. In the long run, although the advancement is bound to be imperceptible, we must strike into the appropriate pathway to lay down the groundwork for accomplishing the long-term targets.

Nonetheless, being in the modern era, evidence-based medicine, an evolving science, advancement in technology, and personalized medicine will have a positive impact on healthcare. Due to the heterogeneity of the disease, there should be a multidisciplinary approach which not just involves hepatologists but also other specialties like endocrinologists and cardiologists. Notably, the conceptual framework of MAFLD lines up the liver disease with the latest comprehension of metabolic syndrome, obesity, and systemic biology. By doing this, we can adopt the heterogeneity and have many subtyping that can at length lead to a precision of medicine based on system narrative. From this viewpoint, the old term "cryptogenic cirrhosis" will be replaced by MAFLD-related cirrhosis. This name scales down the confusion not just on the etiologic spectrum, but also stigma and revamps physician-patient relationships.

What happens next when MAFLD gains ground? Then we would have to embrace the connection between metabolic disorder and low-grade inflammation, in particular adipose tissue inflammation which might unlock the door to new treatment strategies in the future. Hence, it is also essential to recognize that joint efforts of scientific societies, pharmaceutical industries, and patient associations have led to a marked acceleration in the development of medications currently under trial for NAFLD, which could all go in vain if the nomenclature is to change at this point. Hence, it may be wise to arrange a combined international conference of all the important stakeholders asking for their opinion regarding an upgrade in the nomenclature in addition to deciding an appropriate timing for it.

As it is a nascent idea, the journey can go at a snail's pace as it needs affirmation by clinicians, patients, researchers, and industry worldwide. In addition, this calls for future studies and funding to be escalated to explore the consequences of change in terminology. Unless we all converge to bring a consensus regarding this as well as improving the overall disease outcomes, a mere change in terminology may still not be sufficient. Joint efforts are needed on everyone's part to increase disease awareness, reduce its global burden, and provide effective diagnostic and therapeutic modalities.

### REFERENCES

- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Diehl AM, Brunt EM, Cusi K, Charlton M, Sanyal AJ; American Gastroenterological Association; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; American College of Gastroenterologyh. The diagnosis and management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: practice guideline by the American Gastroenterological Association, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, and American College of Gastroenterology. Gastroenterology 2012; 142: 1592-1609 [PMID: 22656328 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.04.001]
- Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, George J, Bugianesi E. Global 2 burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 15: 11-20 [PMID: 28930295 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.109]
- . Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, George J, Bugianesi E. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 15: 11-20
- 4 Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, Ferrell LD, Liu YC, Torbenson MS, Unalp-Arida A, Yeh M, McCullough AJ, Sanyal AJ; Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Clinical Research Network. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2005; 41: 1313-1321 [PMID: 15915461 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20701]
- 5 Bedossa P; FLIP Pathology Consortium. Utility and appropriateness of the fatty liver inhibition of progression (FLIP) algorithm and steatosis, activity, and fibrosis (SAF) score in the evaluation of biopsies of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2014; 60: 565-575 [PMID: 24753132 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27173
- Younossi ZM. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease A global public health perspective. J Hepatol 2019; 6 70: 531-544 [PMID: 30414863 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.10.033]
- Younossi ZM, Marchesini G, Pinto-Cortez H, Petta S. Epidemiology of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver 7 Disease and Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Implications for Liver Transplantation. Transplantation 2019; 103: 22-27 [PMID: 30335697 DOI: 10.1097/TP.00000000002484]
- Romero-Gómez M, Zelber-Sagi S, Trenell M. Treatment of NAFLD with diet, physical activity and exercise. J Hepatol 2017; 67: 829-846 [PMID: 28545937 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.05.016]



- 9 Hossain N, Kanwar P, Mohanty SR. A Comprehensive Updated Review of Pharmaceutical and Nonpharmaceutical Treatment for NAFLD. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2016; 2016: 7109270 [PMID: 27006654 DOI: 10.1155/2016/7109270]
- 10 Pani A, Giossi R, Menichelli D, Fittipaldo VA, Agnelli F, Inglese E, Romandini A, Roncato R, Pintaudi B, Del Sole F, Scaglione F. Inositol and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systematic Review on Deficiencies and Supplementation. Nutrients 2020; 12 [PMID: 33153126 DOI: 10.3390/nu12113379]
- 11 Loria P, Lonardo A, Carulli N. Should nonalcoholic fatty liver disease be renamed? Dig Dis 2005; 23: 72-82 [PMID: 15920328 DOI: 10.1159/000084728]
- 12 Eslam M, Sanyal AJ, George J; International Consensus Panel. MAFLD: A Consensus-Driven Proposed Nomenclature for Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease. Gastroenterology 2020; 158: 1999-2014.e1 [PMID: 32044314 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.11.312]
- Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, Anstee QM, Targher G, Romero-Gomez M, Zelber-Sagi S, Wai-13 Sun Wong V, Dufour JF, Schattenberg JM, Kawaguchi T, Arrese M, Valenti L, Shiha G, Tiribelli C, Yki-Järvinen H, Fan JG, Grønbæk H, Yilmaz Y, Cortez-Pinto H, Oliveira CP, Bedossa P, Adams LA, Zheng MH, Fouad Y, Chan WK, Mendez-Sanchez N, Ahn SH, Castera L, Bugianesi E, Ratziu V, George J. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: An international expert consensus statement. J Hepatol 2020; 73: 202-209 [PMID: 32278004 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.039]
- Pastori D, Sciacqua A, Marcucci R, Del Ben M, Baratta F, Violi F, Pignatelli P; ATHERO-AF study 14 group. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular events in atrial fibrillation. A prospective multicenter cohort study. Intern Emerg Med 2021; 16: 2063-2068 [PMID: 33713286 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-021-02682-3]
- 15 Mak LY, Yuen MF, Seto WK. Letter regarding "A new definition for metabolic dysfunctionassociated fatty liver disease: An international expert consensus statement". J Hepatol 2020; 73: 1573-1574 [PMID: 32951910 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.07.008]
- 16 Schon SR, Stanley DE. A philosophical analysis of the evidence-based medicine debate. BMC Health Serv Res 2003; 3: 14 [PMID: 12873351 DOI: 10.1186/1472-6963-3-14]
- Upshur RE. Looking for rules in a world of exceptions: reflections on evidence-based practice. 17 Perspect Biol Med 2005; 48: 477-489 [PMID: 16227661 DOI: 10.1353/pbm.2005.0098]
- 18 Fouad Y, Waked I, Bollipo S, Gomaa A, Ajlouni Y, Attia D. What's in a name? Liver Int 2020; 40: 1254-1261 [PMID: 32301554 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14478]
- 19 Kuchay MS, Misra A. From non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) to metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD): A journey over 40 years. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020; 14: 695-696 [PMID: 32442920 DOI: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.05.0191
- Zheng KI, Fan JG, Shi JP, Wong VW, Eslam M, George J, Zheng MH. From NAFLD to MAFLD: a 20 "redefining" moment for fatty liver disease. Chin Med J (Engl) 2020; 133: 2271-2273 [PMID: 32701591 DOI: 10.1097/CM9.000000000000981]
- Demirtas CO, Yilmaz Y. Metabolic-associated Fatty Liver Disease: Time to integrate ground-21 breaking new terminology to our clinical practice. Hepatology Forum 2020; 3: 79-81 [DOI: 10.14744/hf.2020.2020.0024]
- Tilg H, Effenberger M. From NAFLD to MAFLD: when pathophysiology succeeds. Nat Rev 22 Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 387-388 [PMID: 32461575 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0316-6]
- The Lancet Gastroenterology Hepatology. Redefining non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: what's in a 23 name? Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 5: 419 [PMID: 32277896 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30091-1]
- 24 Valenti L, Pelusi S. Redefining fatty liver disease classification in 2020. Liver Int 2020; 40: 1016-1017 [PMID: 32352234 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14430]
- 25 Eslam M, Sarin SK, Wong VW, Fan JG, Kawaguchi T, Ahn SH, Zheng MH, Shiha G, Yilmaz Y, Gani R, Alam S, Dan YY, Kao JH, Hamid S, Cua IH, Chan WK, Payawal D, Tan SS, Tanwandee T, Adams LA, Kumar M, Omata M, George J. The Asian Pacific Association for the Study of the Liver clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of metabolic associated fatty liver disease. Hepatol Int 2020; 14: 889-919 [PMID: 33006093 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-020-10094-2]
- Younossi ZM, Rinella ME, Sanyal AJ, Harrison SA, Brunt EM, Goodman Z, Cohen DE, Loomba R. 26 From NAFLD to MAFLD: Implications of a Premature Change in Terminology. Hepatology 2021; 73: 1194-1198 [PMID: 32544255 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31420]
- Lin S, Huang J, Wang M, Kumar R, Liu Y, Liu S, Wu Y, Wang X, Zhu Y. Comparison of MAFLD 27 and NAFLD diagnostic criteria in real world. Liver Int 2020; 40: 2082-2089 [PMID: 32478487 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14548]
- 28 Yamamura S, Eslam M, Kawaguchi T, Tsutsumi T, Nakano D, Yoshinaga S, Takahashi H, Anzai K, George J, Torimura T. MAFLD identifies patients with significant hepatic fibrosis better than NAFLD. Liver Int 2020; 40: 3018-3030 [PMID: 32997882 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14675]
- Zheng KI, Sun DQ, Jin Y, Zhu PW, Zheng MH. Clinical utility of the MAFLD definition. J Hepatol 29 2021; 74: 989-991 [PMID: 33347953 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.12.016]
- Xu Z, Li H, Tian S, Wu J, Li X, Liu ZL, Li S, Chen YL, Xiao J, Wei JY, Liang XY, Ran L, Kong 30 LQ. Blood biomarkers for the diagnosis of hepatic steatosis in metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease. J Hepatol 2020; 73: 1264-1265 [PMID: 32839034 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.06.003]
- 31 Niriella MA, Ediriweera DS, Kasturiratne A, De Silva ST, Dassanayaka AS, De Silva AP, Kato N, Pathmeswaran A, Wickramasinghe AR, de Silva HJ. Outcomes of NAFLD and MAFLD: Results



from a community-based, prospective cohort study. PLoS One 2021; 16: e0245762 [PMID: 33534815 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.02457621

- 32 Lee H, Lee YH, Kim SU, Kim HC. Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Fatty Liver Disease and Incident Cardiovascular Disease Risk: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 19: 2138-2147.e10 [PMID: 33348045 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.12.022]
- Sun DQ, Jin Y, Wang TY, Zheng KI, Rios RS, Zhang HY, Targher G, Byrne CD, Yuan WJ, Zheng 33 MH. MAFLD and risk of CKD. Metabolism 2021; 115: 154433 [PMID: 33212070 DOI: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154433]
- 34 Xia M, Zeng H, Wang S, Tang H, Gao X. Insights into contribution of genetic variants towards the susceptibility of MAFLD revealed by the NMR-based lipoprotein profiling. J Hepatol 2021; 74: 974-977 [PMID: 33340578 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.10.019]
- 35 Ergenc I, Gokcen P, Adali G, Kani HT, Demirtas CO, Gunduz F, Celikel CA, Yilmaz Y. High incidence of hepatitis B core antibody positivity in metabolic-associated fatty liver disease-related cirrhosis. Hepatology Forum 2021; 2: 20 [DOI: 10.14744/hf.2020.2020.0025]
- 36 Fouad Y, Saad Z, Raheem EA, Moness H, Osman N, Abdelhameed W, et al Clinical Validity of the diagnostic criteria for metabolic-associated fatty liver disease: a real-world experience. 2020 Preprint. Available from: medRxiv [DOI: 10.1101/2020.08.20.20176214]
- 37 Gao F, Zheng KI, Wang XB, Yan HD, Sun QF, Pan KH, Wang TY, Chen YP, George J, Zheng MH. Metabolic associated fatty liver disease increases coronavirus disease 2019 disease severity in nondiabetic patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 36: 204-207 [PMID: 32436622 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.15112]
- 38 Jongraksak T, Sobhonslidsuk A, Jatchavala J, Warodomwichit D, Kaewduang P, Sungkanuparph S. Prevalence and predicting factors of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease diagnosed by transient elastography with controlled attenuation parameters in HIV-positive people. Int J STD AIDS 2021; **32**: 266-275 [PMID: 33334267 DOI: 10.1177/0956462420960997]
- 39 Nascimbeni F, Lugari S, Cassinerio E, Motta I, Cavicchioli A, Dalla Salda A, Bursi S, Donatiello S, Spina V, Cappellini MD, Andreone P, Carubbi F. Liver steatosis is highly prevalent and is associated with metabolic risk factors and liver fibrosis in adult patients with type 1 Gaucher disease. Liver Int 2020; 40: 3061-3070 [PMID: 32810900 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14640]
- 40 Rispo A, Imperatore N, Guarino M, Tortora R, Alisi A, Cossiga V, Testa A, Ricciolino S, Fiorentino A, Morisco F. Metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) in coeliac disease. Liver Int 2021; 41: 788-798 [PMID: 33319459 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14767]
- 41 Liu D, Shen Y, Zhang R, Xun J, Wang J, Liu L, Steinhart C, Chen J, Lu H. Prevalence and risk factors of metabolic associated fatty liver disease among people living with HIV in China. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 36: 1670-1678 [PMID: 33140878 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.15320]
- Myers S, Neyroud-Caspar I, Spahr L, Gkouvatsos K, Fournier E, Giostra E, Magini G, Frossard JL, 42 Bascaron ME, Vernaz N, Zampaglione L, Negro F, Goossens N. NAFLD and MAFLD as emerging causes of HCC: A populational study. JHEP Rep 2021; 3: 100231 [PMID: 33748726 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2021.100231
- Guerreiro GTS, Longo L, Fonseca MA, de Souza VEG, Álvares-da-Silva MR. Does the risk of 43 cardiovascular events differ between biopsy-proven NAFLD and MAFLD? Hepatol Int 2021; 15: 380-391 [PMID: 33694066 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-021-10157-y]
- Ciardullo S, Perseghin G. Prevalence of NAFLD, MAFLD and associated advanced fibrosis in the 44 contemporary United States population. Liver Int 2021; 41: 1290-1293 [PMID: 33590934 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14828]
- 45 Park SL, Li Y, Sheng X, Hom V, Xia L, Zhao K, Pooler L, Setiawan VW, Lim U, Monroe KR, Wilkens LR, Kristal BS, Lampe JW, Hullar M, Shepherd J, Loo LLM, Ernst T, Franke AA, Tiirikainen M, Haiman CA, Stram DO, Le Marchand L, Cheng I. Genome-Wide Association Study of Liver Fat: The Multiethnic Cohort Adiposity Phenotype Study. Hepatol Commun 2020; 4: 1112-1123 [PMID: 32766472 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1533]
- 46 Assante G, Williams R, Youngson NA. Is the increased risk for MAFLD patients to develop severe COVID-19 Linked to perturbation of the gut-liver axis? J Hepatol 2021; 74: 487-488 [PMID: 32574578 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.05.051]
- 47 Zhou YJ, Zheng KI, Wang XB, Yan HD, Sun QF, Pan KH, Wang TY, Ma HL, Chen YP, George J, Zheng MH. Younger patients with MAFLD are at increased risk of severe COVID-19 illness: A multicenter preliminary analysis. J Hepatol 2020; 73: 719-721 [PMID: 32348790 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.04.027]
- 48 Zhou YJ, Zheng KI, Wang XB, Sun QF, Pan KH, Wang TY, Ma HL, Chen YP, George J, Zheng MH. Metabolic-associated fatty liver disease is associated with severity of COVID-19. Liver Int 2020; 40: 2160-2163 [PMID: 32573883 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.14575]
- Polyzos SA, Mantzoros CS. Making progress in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as we are 49 transitioning from the era of NAFLD to dys-metabolism associated fatty liver disease (DAFLD). Metabolism 2020; 111S: 154318 [PMID: 32707055 DOI: 10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154318]
- Waheed Y. Transition from millennium development goals to sustainable development goals and 50 hepatitis. Pathog Glob Health 2015; 109: 353 [PMID: 26924344 DOI: 10.1080/20477724.2015.1126035
- Allen LN, Feigl AB. What's in a name? Lancet Glob Health 2017; 5: e129-e130 [PMID: 28104173 51 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30001-3]
- 52 Sridhar D, Morrison JS, Piot P. Getting the politics right for the September 2011. UN high-level



meeting on noncommunicable diseases; 2011 Sep 19-20, NewYork. United Nations, 2011

- Kozelka EE, Jenkins JH. Renaming non-communicable diseases. Lancet Glob Health 2017; 5: e655 53 [PMID: 28619220 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30211-5]
- Zou G, Decoster K, McPake B, Witter S. Renaming non-communicable diseases. Lancet Glob Health 54 2017; 5: e656 [PMID: 28619221 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30218-8]
- 55 Lincoln P. Renaming non-communicable diseases. Lancet Glob Health 2017; 5: e654 [PMID: 28619219 DOI: 10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30219-X]
- Byrne CD, Targher G. EASL-EASD-EASO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of non-56 alcoholic fatty liver disease: is universal screening appropriate? Diabetologia 2016; 59: 1141-1144 [PMID: 27053232 DOI: 10.1007/s00125-016-3910-y]
- Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, Charlton M, Cusi K, Rinella M, Harrison SA, Brunt EM, 57 Sanyal AJ. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2018; 67: 328-357 [PMID: 28714183 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29367]
- Wieland AC, Mettler P, McDermott MT, Crane LA, Cicutto LC, Bambha KM. Low awareness of 58 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among patients at high metabolic risk. J Clin Gastroenterol 2015; 49: e6-e10 [PMID: 24440943 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.000000000000075]
- Singh A, Kumar A, Singh S, Dhaliwal AJS, Lopez R, Noureddin M, Naim A. Trends of Awareness of 59 Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, Alcoholic Liver Disease and Both Fatty Liver Diseases (BAFLD) Using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 836. Am J Gastroenterol 2018; 113: S465 [DOI: 10.14309/00000434-201810001-00836]
- 60 Cook NS, Nagar SH, Jain A, Balp MM, Mayländer M, Weiss O, Chatterjee S. Understanding Patient Preferences and Unmet Needs in Non-alcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH): Insights from a Qualitative Online Bulletin Board Study. Adv Ther 2019; 36: 478-491 [PMID: 30547371 DOI: 10.1007/s12325-018-0856-0]
- Patel PJ, Banh X, Horsfall LU, Hayward KL, Hossain F, Johnson T, Stuart KA, Brown NN, Saad N, 61 Clouston A, Irvine KM, Russell AW, Valery PC, Williams S, Powell EE. Underappreciation of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease by primary care clinicians: limited awareness of surrogate markers of fibrosis. Intern Med J 2018; 48: 144-151 [PMID: 29083080 DOI: 10.1111/imj.13667]
- Bertot LC, Jeffrey GP, Wallace M, MacQuillan G, Garas G, Ching HL, Adams LA. Nonalcoholic 62 fatty liver disease-related cirrhosis is commonly unrecognized and associated with hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatol Commun 2017; 1: 53-60 [PMID: 29404433 DOI: 10.1002/hep4.1018]
- Alexander M, Loomis AK, Fairburn-Beech J, van der Lei J, Duarte-Salles T, Prieto-Alhambra D, 63 Ansell D, Pasqua A, Lapi F, Rijnbeek P, Mosseveld M, Avillach P, Egger P, Kendrick S, Waterworth DM, Sattar N, Alazawi W. Real-world data reveal a diagnostic gap in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Med 2018; 16: 130 [PMID: 30099968 DOI: 10.1186/s12916-018-1103-x]
- 64 Lazarus JV, Colombo M, Cortez-Pinto H, Huang TT, Miller V, Ninburg M, Schattenberg JM, Seim L, Wong VWS, Zelber-Sagi S. NAFLD - sounding the alarm on a silent epidemic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 17: 377-379 [PMID: 32514153 DOI: 10.1038/s41575-020-0315-7]





# Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

