

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Effects of perioperative rosuvastatin for postoperative delirium after elderly general anesthesia patients: a randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled trial**" (MS No: 66543). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made a correction which we hope meets with approval. We have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers, and the amendments are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript, Title page, abstract et. Point by point responses to the reviewers' comments is listed below this letter. We hope that the revised version of the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in your journal.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Xiaoyu Li

We would like to express our sincere thanks to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments.

Replies to Reviewers

Specific Comments

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1 (Comments to the Author (Required)):

This paper is well-written.

Important articles are not quoted, and the discussion section should be enhanced with important articles listed below.

1. A meta-analysis of pharmacological neuroprotection in noncardiac surgery: focus on statins, lidocaine, ketamine, and magnesium sulfate. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2018 Mar;22(6):1798-1811 PMID: 29630129

2. Association of rosuvastatin and delirium in severe sepsis with acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Thorac Dis. 2016 Aug;8(8):E775-7. PMID: 27618838

3. Is statin-associated cognitive impairment clinically relevant? A narrative review and clinical recommendations. Ann Pharmacother. 2012 Apr;46(4):549-57. PMID: 22474137

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. We had revised our manuscript carefully, and addressed the comments raised and add the references 1 by the reviewers. Reference 2 was a comment and Reference 3 was analysis the adverse effect of statin.

Thank you!

Responds to the Science Editor comments:

(1) The language classification is Grade C. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend:
<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240;>

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. And we had sent the manuscript to the "AJE" to improve our language level. AJE Editing Service had help us improve our language.

Thank you!

(2) The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. And we had add it,
Thank you!

(3) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. And we had add it,
Thank you!

(4) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. And we had add it, Thank you!

(5) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text.

Author Response: Thank you very much for your comments. And we had add it, Thank you!