

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

**Manuscript NO:** 66576

**Title:** A Review of the Effects of SARS-CoV2 Infection and COVID-19 on Common Pediatric Psychiatric Illnesses

**Reviewer's code:** 05906308

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Spain

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-30

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-31 09:38

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-08 18:45

**Review time:** 8 Days and 9 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript seems interesting but several aspects should be improved in order to improve the manuscript quality and the possibility to be cited. The abstract could be improved by adding Results. I suggest improving the M&M in order to describe in deep the criteria for this research. Please consult the PRISMA statement; generally, a revision of the literature should include other research sources, such as SCOPUS, etc. Please clarify the use of PUBMED only. The results of the search can be improved. A flow chart showing how many papers were retrieved, how many discarded by title, how many discarded by abstract lecture. Recommendation: major revisions.