

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 66648

**Title:** Abdominal hemorrhage after peritoneal dialysis catheter insertion – a rare reason for luteal rupture: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 01844797

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-01 05:12

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-09 02:21

**Review time:** 7 Days and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Authors presented a rare case of peritoneal hemorrhage caused by luteal rupture. Although the present case should be interesting for readers, there are several small issues as I listed as below. 1. In the title, it is not sure which is better between luteal rupture or luteal cyst rupture. And, it is informative to add pre-operative CT scan photo about luteal cysts if authors had CT examination before replacement of PD- catheter. 2. In line 70 of the "Case presentation", authors just mentioned "She had suffered from thrombocytopenia". However, authors do not describe the reason and/or diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. Please add the information. 3. In line72, it is unsure that "Her last period was 10 days before surgery". It would be better to clarify what means. 4. In lines 71 and 77, it may be better to change from "didn't give her special treatment" to "conservative therapy". 5. I cannot find "Supplemental Figure 1" Therefore, I could not evaluate it. 6. In the "Discussion", authors about disorder of coagulation systems. However, I am doubting if their presented data might not enough in the present case.