Manuscript Review: This manuscript reports a patient with early seminoma who was subsequently identified to have a recurrence two decades later. The authors performed a brief case report and literature review and emphasized the imperative of a multidisciplinary approach to sub-professional expert consultation and patient education. This study is interesting, late relapses of localized pure seminoma a rare and ultimately little studied. However, in the author's description, there is no detailed suggestion for a multidisciplinary discussion. In addition, treatment and follow-up were not described in detail. It is unclear whether the patient's symptoms have improved after treatment. Why did the author choose a chemotherapy regimen with lower intensity?

Response:

We appreciate the thorough and inclusive review of our article and case report. We acknowledge that there is not a satisfactory methodology to an interdisciplinary review and unfortunately as the patient's follow up was not well documented, little information was available from a thorough review of prior medical records. We have made the necessary grammatical and syntax changes to the article and are thankful to the productive and constructive feedback we have received. We have re-organized key features in the article as well as the organization of the data so that they are presented in a clear, thoughtful and easy to read approach. A separate file of the mancuscript's figures is also attached under the supplementary upload sections with each of the figures critical to the article.