

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Oncology*

Manuscript NO: 66748

Title: Risk Factors for Lymph Node Metastasis in Patients with Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05493185

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-13 01:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-24 13:40

Review time: 11 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective study regarding PTENs, with the analysis data adopts various inspection methods, and reliability. The logic is reasonable, and the expression is accurate. The research results are presented in the form of data, which is intuitive and clear. However, I still have some concerns before it finally accepts. below is my details comment: 1.laparoscopic pancreatic surgery (LPS) has been given the first time the abbreviation, can be used directly later, do not alternate the full name and abbreviation; distal pancreatectomy abbreviation to be reflected; 2.Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria are not accurately expressed; 3."Results" mentioned that 14 patients had multiple tumors,but how understand the multiple tumors? 4.References are too old; 5.Why choose the tumor diameter 20mm for analysis? Why not choose other size as standar? 6.It is recommended that additional patient findings be added for single - factor analysis. 7.Standards for LN metastasis are not stated, with ambiguous problems.For example, did the researcher exclude all possible transferred lymph nodes? It is suggested that lymph nodes metastasis can be subdivided into near and distant metastasis, may be more clinical significance.