



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 66752

**Title:** Non-immune hydrops fetalis: two compared complex cases .

**Reviewer's code:** 00722050

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRCP (C), MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-07 10:10

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-07 11:36

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

NIHP is a rare event, but the etiology is puzzling in many situations. The authors present two cases of NIHF with severe fetal anemia. The etiology was infectious in the first case and autoimmune in the second. Obstetric management was correct. The CARE checklist is incomplete. The authors should suggest a differential diagnosis of NIHF according to the immunologic conditions of the patients. Was COVID-19 excluded? Can COVID-19 be involved with NIHF? Please add it in the discussion.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 66752

**Title:** Non-immune hydrops fetalis: two compared complex cases .

**Reviewer's code:** 00722050

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FRCP (C), MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Han Zhang (Online Science Editor)

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-05-09 20:27

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-05-09 20:33

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have properly addressed the concerns and suggestions of the reviewers.