

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Critical Care Medicine

**Manuscript NO:** 66853

**Title:** Pharmacological treatment with neurohormonal inhibitors and prognostic outcome in pulmonary arterial hypertension with risk factors for left heart disease

**Reviewer's code:** 05081207

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-04-23 22:47

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-04-24 21:54

**Review time:** 23 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a retrospective clinical study. Although the number of cases is not large, it shows that PAH should be given NEUi orally if combined with left ventricular dysfunction. We know that PAH has international guidelines, but the references are not cited. I suggest that the latest guideline should be cited and the authors clarify the relationship between the results of this article and the statement in the guideline in the discussion.