

**Reviewer #1:**

1: The authors say "Insufficient blood flow leads to decreased cellular respiration and accumulation of carbon dioxide, resulting in an alkaline environment". Generally, the accumulation of carbon dioxide leads to an acidic environment and this sentence is wrong. This explanation should be revised or need appropriate citation.

The sentence is changed to "Insufficient blood flow leads to decrease of cellular respiration and carbon dioxide production, resulting in an alkaline environment."

Insufficient blood flow → decrease of cellular respiration → decrease of carbon dioxide production → A relative alkaline environment. There are misunderstandings in the previous sentences.

2: As the authors mentioned, the prognosis of patients with calcification is different according to the types of carcinoma. How about the prognosis of patients with calcified gastric cancer? Is there any trend from previous studies? The readers would be interested in the clinical features of this rare situation.

It has been reported that the prognosis may be better in patients with MGC and calcifications than in those having gastric cancer without calcification. It has been added to the discussion part of the article.

3: I think cancer-cell-targeting calcification therapy is different from spontaneous calcified tumors. The sentence "Calcification has recently been considered a process that can prevent the further progression of cancer. Cancer-cell-targeting calcification therapy for patients with malignant tumors has shown efficacy" would be confusing. I understand that authors are claiming that calcification reflects the cancer necrosis due to chemotherapy, and not indicating that calcification suppresses the tumor progression.

Cancer-cell-targeting calcification therapy (CCTC) is a successful drug-free method for tumor therapy. (Ruibo Zhao, Ben Wang, Xinyan Yang, et al. A Drug-Free Tumor Therapy Strategy: Cancer-Cell-Targeting Calcification. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*. 2016, 55, 5225–5229.) But it may be a process that different from the process mentioned in this article, so I delete the content after consideration.

**Reviewer #2:**

Please add an endoscopic picture and a postoperative gloss picture.

The patient did not undergo surgery, so there was no postoperative gloss picture. The endoscopic picture has been attached.