

Comments 1

1. Are the diagnosis of synchronous renal carcinoma with different histological presentation diagnosed before surgery? Does the difference make surgical decision (partial or total nephrectomy) different?

Reply: However, the pre-operative imaging technique did not accurately determine the tumor histology features, and postoperative pathological examination is still needed to confirm the diagnosis. It's worth noting that different pathological types does not affect the choice of surgical procedure (PN or RN), the latter depends on tumor size, location, depth, stage, and the surgeon's skill level.

2. Any risk factors for this synchronous RCCs with different types? Does the difference carry any clinical significance?

Reply: Multiple tumors treatment increase the time to tumor resection and incision suture, increase the time to renal warm ischemia, and increase the risk of intraoperative conversion from partial to radical nephrectomy (RN).

3. Figures are not uploaded, which prevent further review

Reply: Figures are uploaded in attachment

4. Page 8 "In China, the retroperitoneal approach is usually adopted,

while the retroperitoneal route is often chosen in Western countries." Do you really mean that?

Reply: In China, the retroperitoneal approach is usually adopted, while the transabdominal route is often chosen in Western countries.

Comments 2

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Yes

2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? Yes

3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes

4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study? Yes

5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? Yes

6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? NA

7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss

the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? Yes

8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Yes

Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? No

9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of biostatistics? No

10 Units. Does the manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units? Yes

11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Yes

Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? No

12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? Yes

13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist -

Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting?

14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes