

ROUND 1

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors have reported a case of gallbladder bleeding. Although I can understand the clinical implication of the present case, many similar case reports have been previously reported. Therefore, the priority for publication of present manuscript is not so high. I recommend revising the discussion section with more detailed review based on previous literature, especially focusing the diagnosis and treatment for gallbladder bleeding.

Answer: Thanks for your kindly suggestions. The discussion section has been updated. We made a revision of the cases reported in the literature and their related strategies of treatment.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: In this article, the authors have reported the rare case of gallbladder bleeding. A lot of papers were published regarding this clinical case. The description of context is immature and should be revised to become instructive to readers. Questions and comments to authors: Comments; 1. What is the main point or novelty of this manuscript? It is clear that gallbladder bleeding is fatal disease, however, the other information such as treatment strategy is hard to understand. Please describe the point of suspicion, diagnosis, and treatment strategy in more detail. 2. As the authors state, early diagnosis of this potentially fatal pathology is definitely necessary, however, the authors state that an initial evaluation can be done with ultrasound, however, the image was not showed in this manuscript. 2. It is uncertain that gallbladder bleeding is needed emergency surgery. After all, which is the best treatment, upfront surgery or conservative treatment or interventional radiology? Please indicate what should make these select by summarizing the other reports. 3. The symptoms of biliary hemorrhage are jaundice, biliary colic (abdominal pain), and gastrointestinal hemorrhage, and Grove WJ1 identified these as the three main symptoms of biliary hemorrhage. How is the gastrointestinal hemorrhage in this case? 4. When did the gallbladder bleeding occur after using Fondaparinux? 5. Define the term "NSAIDs" in the Abstract on first use. 6. In abstract, CASE SUMMARY, "Gallbladders walls" is typo? Is "Gallbladder walls"? Reference 1. GROVE W J. Biliary tract hemorrhage as a cause of hematemesis. Arch Surg. 1961; 83: 67-72.

Answer: Thanks for your kindly suggestions. We find them useful to improve the paper.

1. We made an updated and detailed description of the general and clinical context with the aim to better explicate the strategy chosen.
2. We provided the picture of upper abdomen ultrasound, as requested.
- 2b. We have made a brief revision of the cases reported in the literature, with relative strategies of treatment: over 80% of patients underwent cholecystectomy.
3. We found no sign of gastrointestinal hemorrhage (we reported in the main text)
4. We indicated the timeframe (10 days) in the main text
5. "NSAIDs" (Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs): we updated the main text
6. Thanks, we corrected it.

Sincerely,
The authors.

ROUND 2

Reviewer ID: 05846802

Specific Comments to Authors: Comments to Author Thank you for your response to my comments on the peer review. I have some comments. 1. Thank you for your adding the imaging of ultrasonography for gallbladder. However, imaging is messy and very confusing. It's hard to tell which structure shows. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor. 2. The synthesis table of the studies on the subject is useful. However, there is little consideration of the Table. For example, about half of the patients developed the disease even though they were not receiving anti-coagulant therapy. What do you think about this? I cannot see the significance of this article unless the novelty of it compared to previous reports is stated in the discussion. Minor comments Key words; "hemorrhage" is typo.

Answer: Thanks again for your kindly suggestions. 1. We provided a higher resolution picture of the pre-operative US scan as screenshot from our servers. We made a PowerPoint file, with arrows and text portions as requested.

2. We improved the discussion related to the literature revision and we formulated some hypothesis related to the results of the table.

To be sincere, we firmly think that this pathology is underestimated given the small number of cases reported in literature. Even if a real novelty could appear lacking we think that each report should be considered useful for a better knowledge of this potentially fatal pathology to ensure timely life-saving decisions.

Reviewer ID: 00722239

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors have amended the manuscript loosely according to the reviewer's comments. However, many grammatical errors and spell miss are remained. The quality of provided Figure of ultrasonography (67308-Image-File-revision) is too poor to publish. Although the list of previous reports is provided, detailed discussion is not done. The overall quality of revised version of manuscript is not reach to the publication.

Answer: we are sorry for the paper not to be judged enough of interest. We think some of the underlined criticism have been addressed in this final revision. However, thanks for your comment.

Sincerely,
The authors.