

Response to Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This is a very interesting case. It is recommended to provide pathological results and pictures of the bronchial mucosa, which will be more convincing.

Response

We are very thankful for the favorable comments of the reviewer that has improved the quality of the manuscript.

We agree that pathological images of the bronchial mucosa would be very useful. However, we have performed bronchoscopy one week after the computed tomography showing the mucus plug, and probably therefore, we could not detect any mucus plug by bronchoscopy. It is also possible that the mucus plug was located in more peripheral bronchial branch, and therefore, it was not possible to visualize it during the bronchoscopic procedure.

Response to Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors report here an interesting case, that shows a complete withdrawal of medication (oral and inhaled glucocorticoids, inhaled beta2-agonists) after dupilumab therapy in a 54 year old patient with allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. These findings may be very useful for clinicians. The manuscript is clearly written and the case well described. Minor comments: Please add dosages that were used for all medications. I think that the only dosage mentioned is for oral glucocorticoids. Page 3, Line 3: "Aspergillus" instead of "aspergillus" Page 7, Line 3: "fluid" instead of "flu-id" Table 1: There is a reference 12 that is not found in the reference list; is this supposed to be "11" instead?

Response

We are very thankful for the favorable comments of the reviewer that has

improved the quality of the manuscript.

As suggested we have provided the dose of each medication taken by patient.

Please see page 7, paragraph 3, lines 4 to 5 of the paragraph. Please also see page 9, paragraph 2, lines 4 to 5, 8 and 9 in the revised manuscript.

We have also made the corrections suggested by the Reviewer.

Please see page 3, line 4, and page 7, line 3 in the revised manuscript.

We have also corrected the reference number (from number 12 to number 11) in Table 1.

Please see the revised Table 1 on page 14.

Response to Science editor:

Comment 1

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a Case Report of the Dupilumab in allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC.

(1) Classification: Grade B and Grade C;

(2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The manuscript is clearly written and the case well described. Authors should provide pathological results and pictures of the bronchial mucosa. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There is 1 table and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 11 references are cited, including 6 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B and Grade B. The Corresponding authors is a native English speakers. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Written informed consent and CARE Checklist (2016). No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC.

Response

We agree that pathological images of the bronchial mucosa would be very useful. However, we have performed bronchoscopy one week after the computed tomography showing the mucus plug, and probably therefore, we could not detect any mucus plug by bronchoscopy. It is also possible that the mucus plug was located in more peripheral bronchial branch, and therefore, it was not possible to

visualize it during the bronchoscopic procedure.

Comment 2

5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor;

Response

We provided the original figures as suggested.

Comment 3

(2) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout.

Response

We have provided the PMID and missing DOI number of the references. All authors were included in each reference.

Comment 4

(3) Please upload the primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form (Surgical procedures or other) that has been signed by the patients in the study, To obey the publication ethics and improve the protection of all patients' rights to privacy, the authors should provide the informed consent form on which the patient's name, address, birthday, address, ward, bed number, hospital number and other private information are obfuscated. 6 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response

The pdf file of the informed consent was provided as suggested.

Editorial Office's comment: *Editorial office director:*

Comment 1

(3) ***Company editor-in-chief:*** I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the

manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before its final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the Signed Informed Consent Form(s) or Document(s). For example, authors from China should upload the Chinese version of the document, authors from Italy should upload the Italian version of the document, authors from Germany should upload the Deutsch version of the document, and authors from the United States and the United Kingdom should upload the English version of the document, etc.

Response

We have provided the signed informed consent of the patient.