



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Orthopedics*

**Manuscript NO:** 67665

**Title:** Femoro-acetabular offset restoration in total hip arthroplasty; Digital templating a short stem versus a conventional stem.

**Reviewer's code:** 05433505

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Ukraine

**Author's Country/Territory:** Netherlands

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-04-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-05-14 12:46

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-06-02 07:56

**Review time:** 18 Days and 19 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well written study with appropriate statistically processed data dedicated to restoration of the femoro-acetabular offset at THA with short stems. However, I have some comments to the article. 1)Were these two study groups representative for the type of the femoral canal according to the Dorr classification, as each of these stems has indications for a particular type of the femoral canal. 2)Please, add please examples of x-ray pictures after THA of both groups with measurements in digital templating.