# World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 July 26; 10(21): 7187-7619





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

#### Contents

#### Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022

#### **OPINION REVIEW**

7187 Effects of glucocorticoids on leukocytes: Genomic and non-genomic mechanisms Jia WY, Zhang JJ

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

- 7195 Apheresis: A cell-based therapeutic tool for the inflammatory bowel disease Yasmin F, Najeeb H, Naeem U, Moeed A, Koritala T, Surani S
- 7209 Helicobacter pylori infection and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth-more than what meets the eye Dharan M, Wozny D
- 7215 Anatomy of the anterolateral ligament of the knee joint Park JG, Han SB, Rhim HC, Jeon OH, Jang KM

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Clinical and Translational Research**

7224 Molecular mechanisms of Biyu decoction as treatment for psoriasis: A network pharmacology and molecular docking study

Wang Z, Zhang HM, Guo YR, Li LL

7242 Expression of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha, wingless-related integration site, and  $\beta$ -catenin in clinical gastric cancer

Hu Q, Li LL, Peng Z, Yi P

#### **Case Control Study**

Improved Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index scores on first postoperative night achieved by propofol 7256 anesthesia in patients undergoing ambulatory gynecologic surgery

Hu CH, Chou WY

Efficacy of Guhong injection versus Butylphthalide injection for mild ischemic stroke: A multicenter 7265 controlled study

Zhang WW, Xin J, Zhang GY, Zhai QJ, Zhang HM, Wu CS

#### **Retrospective Study**

7275 Clinical values of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer subgroup and up-to-7 criteria in intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

Lee SW, Peng YC, Lien HC, Ko CW, Tung CF, Chang CS

Intervention effect of encouraging mental and programmed nursing of patients in interventional operating 7285 room on their compliance and bad moods

Chi RB, Cai YY, Mao HP



Conton	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022
7293	Preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with breast cancer evaluated using strain ultrasonic elastography
	Pan HY, Zhang Q, Wu WJ, Li X
7302	Risk factors for delayed intracranial hemorrhage secondary to ventriculoperitoneal shunt: A retrospective study
	Chen JC, Duan SX, Xue ZB, Yang SY, Li Y, Lai RL, Tan DH
7314	Sequential treatment of severe pneumonia with respiratory failure and its influence on respiratory mechanical parameters and hemodynamics
	Niu BY, Wang G, Li B, Zhen GS, Weng YB
7324	Effects of alendronate sodium combined with InterTan on osteoporotic femoral intertrochanteric fractures and fracture recurrence
	Wang KM, Wei SP, Yin XY, Meng QJ, Kong YM
7333	Correlation of magnetic resonance imaging quantitative parameters and apparent diffusion coefficient value with pathological breast cancer
	Wang Z, Ren GY, Yin Q, Wang Q
7341	Risk factors for delirium after surgery for craniocerebral injury in the neurosurgical intensive care unit
	Chen RY, Zhong CH, Chen W, Lin M, Feng CF, Chen CN
	Observational Study
7348	Effect of osteoarthritic knee flexion deformity correction by total knee arthroplasty on sagittal spinopelvic alignment in Indian population
	Puthiyapura LK, Jain M, Tripathy SK, Puliappadamb HM
7356	Imaging characteristics of orbital peripheral nerve sheath tumors: Analysis of 34 cases
	Dai M, Wang T, Wang JM, Fang LP, Zhao Y, Thakur A, Wang D
	Randomized Controlled Trial
7365	Comparison of involved-field intensity-modulated radiotherapy combined with S-1 <i>vs</i> radiotherapy alone for elderly patients with esophageal cancer
	Liu LH, Yan MH, Di YP, Fu ZG, Zhang XD, Li HQ
	Randomized Clinical Trial
7376	Dexmededomidine in pediatric unilateral internal inguinal ring ligation
	Liu G, Zhang L, Wang HS, Lin Y, Jin HQ, Wang XD, Qiao WN, Zhang YT, Sun JQ, Liu ZN
	META-ANALYSIS
7386	Impact of cancer on mortality rates in patients with sepsis: A meta-analysis and meta-regression of current studies
	Xiang MJ, Chen GL



Contents

### Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022

#### **CASE REPORT**

7397	Updated clinical and glycomic features of mannosyl-oligosaccharide glucosidase deficiency: Two case reports
	Abuduxikuer K, Wang L, Zou L, Cao CY, Yu L, Guo HM, Liang XM, Wang JS, Chen L
7409	Solitary necrotic nodules of the liver with "ring"-like calcification: A case report
	Bao JP, Tian H, Wang HC, Wang CC, Li B
7415	Corticosteroid-induced bradycardia in multiple sclerosis and maturity-onset diabetes of the young due to hepatocyte nuclear factor 4-alpha mutation: A case report
	Sohn SY, Kim SY, Joo IS
7422	Essential thrombocythemia with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction as the first manifestation: A case report
	Wang ZM, Chen WH, Wu YM, Wang LQ, Ye FL, Yin RL
7429	Extranasopharyngeal angiofibroma in children: A case report
	Yan YY, Lai C, Wu L, Fu Y
7438	Deep Sylvian fissure meningiomas: A case report
	Wang A, Zhang X, Sun KK, Li C, Song ZM, Sun T, Wang F
7445	Acute pulmonary embolism originating from upper limb venous thrombosis following breast cancer surgery: Two case reports
	Duan Y, Wang GL, Guo X, Yang LL, Tian FG
7451	Managing spondylitis tuberculosis in a patient with underlying diabetes and hypothyroidism: A case report
	Novita BD, Muliono AC, Wijaya S, Theodora I, Tjahjono Y, Supit VD, Willianto VM
7459	Ovarian mucinous tumor with mural nodules of anaplastic carcinoma: Three case reports
	Wang XJ, Wang CY, Xi YF, Bu P, Wang P
7467	Transcatheter arterial infusion chemotherapy and embolization for primary lacrimal sac squamous cell carcinoma: A case report
	Sun MH, Yi WD, Shen L, Zhou L, Lu JX
7474	Programmed cell death-1 inhibitor combination treatment for recurrent proficient mismatch repair/ miscrosatellite-stable type endometrial cancer: A case report
	Zhai CY, Yin LX, Han WD
7483	Novel compound heterozygous mutation of <i>SLC12A3</i> in Gitelman syndrome co-existent with hyperthyroidism: A case report and literature review
	Qin YZ, Liu YM, Wang Y, You C, Li LN, Zhou XY, Lv WM, Hong SH, Xiao LX
7495	Successful treatment of hyperglycemia with liraglutide in a hospitalized 27-year-old patient with schizophrenia: A case report

Zhang L, Yu WJ, Zhu H, Li HF, Qiao J



Combon	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022
7502	Refractory lymphoma treated with chimeric antigen receptor T cells combined with programmed cell death-1 inhibitor: A case report
	Zhang CJ, Zhang JY, Li LJ, Xu NW
7509	Median arcuate ligament syndrome with retroperitoneal haemorrhage: A case report
	Lu XC, Pei JG, Xie GH, Li YY, Han HM
7517	Novel frameshift mutation in the <i>AHDC1</i> gene in a Chinese global developmental delay patient: A case report
	Lin SZ, Xie HY, Qu YL, Gao W, Wang WQ, Li JY, Feng XC, Jin CQ
7523	Selective nerve block for the treatment of neuralgia in Kummell's disease: A case report
	Zhang X, Li ZX, Yin LJ, Chen H
7531	Traditional Chinese medicine manipulative reduction combined with percutaneous vertebroplasty for treating type III Kummell's disease: A case report
	Hao SS, Zhang RJ, Dong SL, Li HK, Liu S, Li RF, Ren HH, Zhang LY
7539	Differential diagnosis and treatment of foot drop caused by an extraneural ganglion cyst above the knee: A case report
	Won KH, Kang EY
7545	Effect of hydrogen intervention on refractory wounds after radiotherapy: A case report
	Zhao PX, Luo RL, Dang Z, Wang YB, Zhang XJ, Liu ZY, Wen XH, Liu MY, Zhang MZ, Adzavon YM, Ma XM
7553	Chronic urticaria associated with lung adenocarcinoma – a paraneoplastic manifestation: A case report and literature review
	Jiménez LF, Castellón EA, Marenco JD, Mejía JM, Rojas CA, Jiménez FT, Coronell L, Osorio-Llanes E, Mendoza-Torres E
7565	Spinal giant cell-rich osteosarcoma-diagnostic dilemma and treatment strategy: A case report
	Tseng CS, Wong CE, Huang CC, Hsu HH, Lee JS, Lee PH
7571	Primary clear cell sarcoma of soft tissue in the posterior cervical spine invading the medulla oblongata: A case report
	Liu CC, Huang WP, Gao JB
7577	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> -related effusive-constrictive pericarditis diagnosed with echocardiography: A case report
	Chen JL, Mei DE, Yu CG, Zhao ZY
7585	Maternal peripartum bacteremia caused by intrauterine infection with Comamonas kerstersii: A case report
	Qu H, Zhao YH, Zhu WM, Liu L, Zhu M
7592	Considerations of single-lung ventilation in neonatal thoracoscopic surgery with cardiac arrest caused by bilateral pneumothorax: A case report
	Zhang X, Song HC, Wang KL, Ren YY



World Journal of Clinical Cases Contents Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022 7599 Rare primary rectal mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma with curative resection by endoscopic submucosal dissection: A case report and review of literature Tao Y, Nan Q, Lei Z, Miao YL, Niu JK Differences in examination results of small anastomotic fistula after radical gastrectomy with afterward 7609 treatments: A case report Lu CY, Liu YL, Liu KJ, Xu S, Yao HL, Li L, Guo ZS

#### **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

7617 Baseline differences may impact on relationship between dietary tryptophan and risk of obesity and type 2 diabetes

Ren XH, Ye YW, He LP



#### Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 21 July 26, 2022

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Rajesh Kumar Rajnish, MBBS, MS, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bilaspur, Bilaspur 174001, Himachal Pradesh, India. duktiraj@gmail.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

#### **INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Ying-Yi Yuan, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Clinical Cases	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
<b>ISSN</b> ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE April 16, 2013	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY Thrice Monthly	PUBLICATION ETHICS https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
July 26, 2022	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2022 July 26; 10(21): 7275-7284

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i21.7275

**Retrospective Study** 

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Clinical values of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer subgroup and up-to-7 criteria in intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

Shou-Wu Lee, Yen-Chun Peng, Han-Chung Lien, Chung-Wang Ko, Chun-Fang Tung, Chi-Sen Chang

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: de la Pinta C, Spain; Zeng YY, China A-Editor: Liu X, China

Received: May 21, 2021 Peer-review started: May 21, 2021 First decision: June 27, 2021 Revised: June 28, 2021 Accepted: June 3, 2022 Article in press: June 3, 2022 Published online: July 26, 2022



Shou-Wu Lee, Yen-Chun Peng, Han-Chung Lien, Chung-Wang Ko, Chun-Fang Tung, Chi-Sen Chang, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung 40705, Taiwan

Shou-Wu Lee, Yen-Chun Peng, Han-Chung Lien, Chung-Wang Ko, Chun-Fang Tung, Department of Internal Medicine, Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, Taipei 112304, Taiwan

Shou-Wu Lee, Han-Chung Lien, Department of Post-Baccalaureate Medicine, College of Medicine, Chung Hsing University, Taichung 40227, Taiwan

Shou-Wu Lee, Chi-Sen Chang, Department of Internal Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40201, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Shou-Wu Lee, MD, PhD, Assistant Professor, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, No. 1650 Taiwan Boulevard, Sec. 4, Taichung 40705, Taiwan. ericest@vghtc.gov.tw

## Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a recommended treatment for patients with intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) but with variable treatment outcomes.

#### AIM

To determine factors for predicting outcomes of TACE in patients with intermediate stage B HCC.

#### **METHODS**

Patients with Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage B HCC who underwent TACE as the primary treatment were enrolled at Taichung Veterans General Hospital from January 2005 to December 2009. Patients were assigned to either the objective responder (OR) group or the non-OR group according to mRECIST criteria. Clinical and radiological characteristics were compared between the 2 groups. The overall survival of enrolled subjects was analyzed.

RESULTS



In 128 enrolled patients, 66 (51.6%) were in the OR group and 62 (48.4%) in the non-OR group. Compared with the non-OR group, the OR group had a significantly smaller HCC size (6.55 cm vs 9.50 cm, P = 0.001) and was within the up-to-7 criteria (50% vs 26.7%, P = 0.001). After multivariable analyses, these significant associations still existed. Overall survival rate of all the subjects averaged  $20.65 \pm 13.26$  mo. The survival rate at 1-year was 64.8%, 2-year was 46.9%, and 3year was 31.2%. For those patients with OR to TACE, smaller tumor size and within up-to-7 criteria were associated with significantly better overall survival. Those patients with subgroup B1 had the highest OR ratio (75%) and better overall survival ( $26.70 \pm 12.07$  mo) after TACE.

#### **CONCLUSION**

BCLC stage B HCC patients with smaller tumor size or within up-to-7 criteria had better survival outcomes to TACE. BCLC stage B subgroup is useful to predict refractoriness to TACE.

Key Words: Hepatocellular carcinoma; Objective response; Overall survival; Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization; Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the recommended treatment modality for the intermediate stage or the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage B hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients. However, due to the clinical heterogeneity in this population of patients, only some have a favorable outcome after TACE. In our study, we discovered that the intermediate stage HCC patients with smaller tumor size or within the up-to-7 criteria showed better survival outcomes for TACE. BCLC stage B subgroup is useful to predict refractoriness of HCC to TACE and to establish future therapeutic strategies.

Citation: Lee SW, Peng YC, Lien HC, Ko CW, Tung CF, Chang CS. Clinical values of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer subgroup and up-to-7 criteria in intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization. World J Clin Cases 2022; 10(21): 7275-7284 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i21/7275.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i21.7275

#### INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common type of primary liver cancer worldwide. The widely used algorithm for the classification of HCC is the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, based on tumor characteristics, size, number, presence of macroscopic vascular invasion or extrahepatic spread as well as the hepatic function and performance status of the patient [1,2]. The intermediate stage, or BCLC stage B, is characterized by the presence of large or multifocal HCC without evidence of macroscopic vascular invasion or extrahepatic spread. Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the recommended treatment modality for these stage B patients[3,4]. Previous metaanalyses revealed the overall survival benefit of TACE[5,6]. However, due to the clinical heterogeneity in this population of patients, only some have a favorable outcome after TACE.

The up-to-7 criteria, meaning the sum of the size of the largest tumor and the number of tumors within or beyond 7, first coined by Mazzaferro et al<sup>[7]</sup>, is a practical standard to select those HCC patients for liver transplantation. This criteria was further proposed by Bolondiet al[8] to identify which HCC patients in BCLC stage B could benefit from TACE.

The aim of the present study was to determine useful factors for predicting response to TACE and survival after TACE in patients with intermediate stage HCC.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

HCC patients, newly-diagnosed in accordance with the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease<sup>[9]</sup> guidelines, were recruited for study at Taichung Veterans General Hospital during the period from January 2005 to December 2009. The enrolled criteria included HCC diagnosed in BCLC stage B and who underwent TACE as the primary treatment. Exclusion criteria were those diagnosed with HCC BCLC stages A, C and D and who displayed a poor performance status, missing follow-up or also receiving systemic tumor medications, like oral tyrosine kinase inhibitors. The clinical parameters



of all enrolled patients, including age, gender, liver function such as total bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase, alpha-fetoprotein, presence of chronic hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus, cirrhotic Child-Pugh stage, and the size and numbers of the tumors, were collected.

#### TACE technique

TACE was performed with the patient's written informed consent. A French sheath of size 5 or 6 was first inserted into the common femoral artery. Digital subtraction angiography was performed on the celiac and superior mesenteric arteries to assess the portal vein patency, vascular anatomy and tumor vascularity. All angiographic images were sent to a system of picture archiving and communication. Following the initial arterial assessment, the catheter was advanced into the lobar or segmental hepatic artery that supplied the tumor. If the initial 4 Fr or 5 Fr diagnostic catheter could be advanced into the optimal position, it was used for the TACE infusion. In cases requiring more selective catheterization, a 2.9 Fr microcatheter of Progreat (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) was used. The TACE infusion point was chosen to enable selective tumor embolization. Once the lesion and its blood supply were identified, an emulsion of 10 to 50 mg epirubicin (Pfizer, NY, United States) and 2 mL to 60 mL Lipiodol (Guerbet, Aulnay sous Bois, France) was injected into the arterial supply of the tumor to the tumor region under fluoroscopic guidance. Administration of the emulsion was followed by embolization with a slurry of Spongostan (Ethicon, NJ, United States) until reaching stasis.

#### Assessment of responses following TACE

Patients were assessed every 2 mo by dynamic imaging until study end points, including death, disease progression or treatment failure after TACE. The assessment of tumor response followed the mRECIST criteria<sup>[10]</sup> in four response categories: complete response, partial response, stable disease and progressive disease. Patients with complete response or partial response were classified as the objective responder group (OR), and the patients with stable disease or progressive disease were considered the non-objective responder group (non-OR). In addition, the overall survival of enrolled subjects was collected for analysis.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as standard deviation of mean for each of the measured parameters. The positive rates of the 2 groups were expressed as percentages of the respective patient populations. Statistical comparisons were made using Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test for effects of the positive rate of each stratified group. Independent *t*-test was used to analyze continuous variables. A *P* value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Survival analyses were carried out using the Kaplan-Meier method for univariate analysis, and comparisons were subsequently performed with the log-rank test. Hazard ratios (HR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated by multivariate Cox's regression to examine the strengths of association between clinical parameters and tumor responses following TACE.

#### RESULTS

A total of 128 patients were enrolled, and their characteristics are shown in Table 1. The median age was 70.02 years, with male predominance (76.6%). Chronic hepatitis B virus was found in 56 patients (43.8%) and hepatitis C virus infection in 41 patients (32.0%). Child-Pugh stage A was found in 93 cases (72.7%) and Child-Pugh stage B in 35 cases (27.3%). The mean tumor nodules number was 4.20, and the mean tumor diameter was 7.98 cm. A total of 45 cases (35.2%) were within the up-to-7 criteria, and 83 cases (64.8%) were beyond the criteria. Based on tumor characteristics and cirrhotic Child-Pugh scores, enrolled subjects were further classified into four subgroups: B1, B2, B3 and B4[8]. Their distributions were: B1, 40 (31.3%); B2, 62 (48.4%); B3, 11 (8.7%); and B4, 15 (11.7%).

Therapeutic outcomes of these patients after TACE were analyzed. Their case distributions were 24 cases (18.8%) with complete response, 42 cases (32.8%) with partial response, 30 cases (23.4%) with stable disease and 32 cases (25.0%) with progressive disease. Overall, 66 patients (51.6%) belonged to OR with TACE and 62 (48.4%) patients to non-OR with TACE.

Clinical and tumor characteristics of both groups are shown in Table 1. The 2 groups shared similar factors of age, gender, ratio of chronic viral hepatitis infection and Child-Pugh stage and similar laboratory parameters like total bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase and alpha-fetoprotein. However, the OR group had a significantly smaller HCC than the non-OR group (mean tumor size, 6.55 cm vs 9.50 cm, P = 0.001). The ratio of within up-to-7 criteria was 50.0% in the OR group and 26.7% in the non-OR group. The difference was also significant (P= 0.001). On the contrary, the numbers of HCC between these 2 groups were similar (mean tumor numbers, 3.70 vs 4.74, P = 0.070). Significantly more patients with OR after TACE were in the subgroup B1 than in other subgroups (B2, B3 and B4) (45.5% vs 34.8%, 9.1% and 10.6%, respectively, *P* = 0.003).

Patients were further classified into subgroups according to tumor number (2, 3-5, > 5) and size (1-5 cm, 6-10 cm, > 10 cm). The subgroup percentages of OR with different HCC numbers, size or up-to-7 criteria are shown in Figure 1. Similarly, significantly more OR existed in those with smaller HCC (OR



#### Lee SW et al. BCLC subgroup of HCC to TACE

Table 1 General data of the patients							
	All ( <i>n</i> = 128)		OR ( <i>n</i> = 66, 51.6%)		Non-OR ( <i>n</i> = 62, 48.4%)		
	mean ± SD	n (%)	mean ± SD	n (%)	mean ± SD	n (%)	P value
Age in yr	$70.02 \pm 13.04$		$70.48 \pm 13.86$		69.53 ± 12.21		0.681 <sup>1</sup>
Male sex		98 (76.6)		49 (74.2)		49 (79.0)	0.523 <sup>2</sup>
Viral hepatitis							0.132 <sup>2</sup>
HBV		56 (43.8)		26 (39.4)		30 (48.4)	
HCV		41 (32.0)		27 (40.9)		14 (22.6)	
HBV/HCV		4 (3.1)		1 (1.5)		3 (4.8)	
Nil		27 (21.1)		12 (18.2)		15 (24.2)	
Cirrhosis, Child-Pugh							0.985 <sup>2</sup>
А		93 (72.7)		48 (72.7)		45 (72.6)	
В		35 (27.3)		18 (27.3)		17 (27.4)	
Bilirubin in mg/dL	$1.27 \pm 0.99$		$1.18\pm0.74$		$1.35 \pm 1.20$		0.326 <sup>1</sup>
ALT in U/L	$64.38 \pm 67.61$		$57.91 \pm 43.04$		$71.27 \pm 86.30$		0.275 <sup>1</sup>
AFP as $\times 10^3$ ng/mL	$3.42 \pm 8.86$		$2.52\pm7.89$		$4.42\pm6.84$		0.434 <sup>1</sup>
HCC number	$4.20 \pm 3.24$		$3.70 \pm 2.84$		$4.74 \pm 3.55$		0.070 <sup>1</sup>
HCC size in cm	$7.98 \pm 4.01$		$6.55 \pm 2.98$		$9.50\pm4.40$		0.001 <sup>1</sup>
Up-to-7 criteria							0.001 <sup>2</sup>
Within		45 (35.2)		33 (50.0)		12 (26.7)	
Beyond		83 (64.8)		33 (50.0)		50 (73.3)	
Subclassification							0.003 <sup>2</sup>
B1		40 (31.3)		30 (45.5)		10 (16.1)	
B2		62 (48.4)		23 (34.8)		39 (62.9)	
В3		11 (8.6)		6 (9.1)		5 (8.1)	
B4		15 (11.7)		7 (10.6)		8 (12.9)	

<sup>1</sup>P values were analyzed with independent t test.

<sup>2</sup>Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test.

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV: Hepatitis C virus; OR: Objective responder; SD: Standard deviation.

> ratio, 64.2% vs 57.6% vs 18.5%, P = 0.001) and within up-to-7 criteria (73.3% vs 19.4%, P = 0.001). No association was found between OR following TACE and the HCC number (51.1% vs 63.9% vs 42.6%, P = 0.156). More OR were found with subgroup B1 compared with other subgroups (75% vs 34.8%, 9.1%, 10.6%, P = 0.003).

> Associations between clinical parameters and OR following TACE based on logistic analysis are shown in Table 2. Those variables with no association were age, gender, viral hepatitis, cirrhosis Child-Pugh stage, alanine aminotransferase, alpha-fetoprotein and HCC number. On the other hand, larger HCC size (HR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.73-0.90, P = 0.002) and the beyond up-to-7 criteria (HR = 0.24, 95% CI: 0.11-0.53, P = 0.004) had a significant negative impact on achieving OR after TACE. After multivariable analysis with adjusting other variables, these significant associations still persisted (HCC size, HR = 0.81, 95%CI: 0.72-0.91, *P* = 0.002; beyond up-to-7 criteria, HR = 0.25, 95%CI: 0.11-0.57, *P* = 0.009).

> Overall survival rates of the enrolled patients are shown in Table 3, Figure 2 and Figure 3A-D. Their survival rates were 64.8% for 1-year, 46.9% for 2-year and 31.2% for 3-year, with an average overall survival period of 20.65 ± 13.26 mo. Those patients with smaller HCC, within up-to-7 criteria or the subgroup B1 had the tendency to remain alive at the 1-year, 2-year and 3-year follow-up. The OR group had a significantly longer overall survival than the non-OR group ( $25.80 \pm 12.12$  mo vs  $15.18 \pm 12.26$  mo, P = 0.001). The longest average overall survival appeared in patients with 1 cm to 5 cm HCC followed by those with 6 cm to 10 cm and over 10 cm tumors ( $26.09 \pm 11.48$  mo  $vs \ 20.50 \pm 13.35$  mo  $vs \ 12.55 \pm 11.71$ mo, respectively, P = 0.019). Those with tumors within the up-to-7 criteria had a significantly better



Table 2 The strength of association between clinical parameters and tumor objective response following transarterial chemoembolization

	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		
	HR (95%CI) P value		HR (95%CI)	P value	
Age	0.99 (0.97-1.02)	0.679			
Sex	1.31 (0.57-2.98)	0.523			
Viral hepatitis	0.75 (0.48-1.17)	0.209			
Cirrhosis, Child-Pugh stage	0.99 (0.45-2.16)	0.156			
ALT	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.281			
AFP	1.00 (1.00-1.00)	0.478			
HCC numbers	0.90 (0.81-1.00)	0.069	0.91 (0.81-1.02)	0.086	
HCC size	0.81 (0.73-0.90)	0.002	0.81 (0.72-0.91)	0.002	
Beyond up-to-7 criteria	0.24 (0.11-0.53)	0.004	0.25 (0.11-0.57)	0.009	

AFP: Alpha-fetoprotein; ALT: Alanine aminotransferase; CI: Confidence interval; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; HR: Hazard ratios.

Table 3 Overall survivals of the enrolled patients, n (%)						
		All, n	≥ 1 yr	≥ 2 yr	≥ 3 yr	P value
All		128	83 (64.8)	60 (46.9)	40 (31.2)	
HCC number						0.629
	2	45	26 (57.8)	19 (42.2)	13 (28.9)	
	3-5	36	27 (75.0)	20 (55.6)	15 (41.7)	
	> 5	47	30 (63.8)	21 (44.7)	12 (25.5)	
HCC size in	cm					0.001
	1-5	42	36 (85.7)	29 (69.0)	17 (40.5)	
	6-10	59	38 (64.4)	26 (44.1)	19 (32.2)	
	> 10	27	9 (33.4)	5 (18.5)	4 (14.8)	
Up-to-7 crite	eria					0.003
	Within	45	36 (80.0)	31 (68.9)	22 (48.9)	
	Beyond	83	47 (56.6)	29 (34.9)	18 (21.9)	
Subclassification						0.049
	B1	40	12 (30.0)	8 (20.0)	20 (50.0)	
	B2	62	39 (62.9)	10 (16.1)	13 (21.0)	
	B3	11	8 (72.7)	0	3 (27.3)	
	B4	15	9 (60.0)	2 (13.3)	4 (26.7)	

*P* values were analyzed with Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma.

overall survival than those without (26.75 ± 11.97 mo *vs* 17.35 ± 12.80 mo, P = 0.001). However, the mean overall survival was similar among the patients with different HCC numbers (2, 3-5, > 5; 19.98 ± 13.13 mo *vs* 23.72 ± 13.17 mo *vs* 18.96 ± 13.33 mo, respectively, P = 0.156). Among the different BCLC stage B subgroups, those in subgroup B1 had a significantly better overall survival than other subgroups (B2, B3 and B4) (26.70 ± 12.07 mo *vs* 18.07 ± 12.73 mo, 17.38 ± 13.39 mo, 17.62 ± 14.18 mo, respectively, P = 0.018).



Figure 1 Associations of tumor characteristics and tumor objective response with transarterial chemoembolization. HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; OR: Objective responder.



Figure 2 Associations of oval survival of enrolled patients between the objective responder and the non-objective responder groups. OR: Objective responder.

#### DISCUSSION

HCC is the most common type of primary liver cancer worldwide, with a steady rise in incidence. Merely 30% to 40% of patients are diagnosed at an early stage and that means most patients do not benefit from curative therapies[2]. Treatment recommendations for unresectable HCC include locoregional therapy, like TACE, and systemic therapy, like sorafenib. According to the BCLC staging system, TACE is the standard care method for BCLC stage B HCC[3].

One meta-analysis reported 2-year survival benefits of TACE on HCC in 545 patients: 41% (range 19% to 63%) in the treatment group and 27% (range 11% to 50%) in the control group. The ratio of treatmentinduced OR is 35% (range 16% to 61%)[5]. Another systematic review with data from more than 10000 patients with HCC undergoing TACE found the ratio of OR was 52.5%, with overall survival at 70.3% for 1-year, 51.8% for 2-year, 40.4% for 3-year and 32.4% for 5-year[6]. In our study, 66 of 128 cases achieved OR with TACE, with the OR ratio at 51.6%. The 1-year, 2-year and 3-year survival rates were 64.8%, 46.9% and 31.2%, respectively. Our results were comparable with previous studies.

TACE is performed through the injection of chemotherapy mixed with Lipiodol (ethiodized oil), followed by the obstruction of a selected hepatic artery branch that feeds HCC, resulting in ischemic necrosis of the tumor and slows tumor progression[11]. However, TACE often results in incomplete tumor necrosis. Tumor recurrence after TACE is therefore common. Additionally, repeating TACE





Figure 3 Associations of oval survival of patients among the subgroups with (A) different tumor numbers, (B) different tumor sizes, (C) within or beyond the up-to-7 criteria and (D) different subgroups of BCLC stage B.

could damage liver function resulting in worse survival. Predicting factors with no satisfactory response to TACE should be determined so that other therapeutic strategies can be applied early to these patients.

The up-to-7 criteria is used widely for the subclassification of patients who would benefit from TACE [8]. A past study suggested that first time TACE is more effective for HCC patients with nodules < 5 cm, whereas those with nodules > 5 cm have poorer response and poorer outcomes[12]. A validated prognostic scoring system, hepatoma arterial-embolization prognostic score, based on four factors including albumin, bilirubin,  $\alpha$ -fetoprotein and tumor size, was found to be a significant predictor of the overall survival<sup>[13]</sup>.

For our patients, only HCC size and the up-to-7 criteria, but not HCC number, had a significant role in determining the therapeutic outcomes of patients treated with TACE, both in tumor responses and overall survival. The ratios of OR after TACE among patients with HCC size 1-5 cm, 6-10 cm and > 10 cm were 64.2%, 57.6% and 18.5%, respectively (P = 0.001). The mean overall survival of these subgroups was 26.09 mo, 20.50 mo and 12.55 mo, respectively (P = 0.019). The ratios of OR with TACE for subjects within and beyond the up-to-7 criteria were 73.3% and 19.4% (P = 0.001), respectively, and the corresponding mean overall survival was 26.75 mo and 17.35 mo (P = 0.001).

One retrospective study in Japan enrolling 50 patients with BCLC stage B HCC who underwent TACE reported that tumor size (HR 0.997, P = 0.811) was not a meaningful factor determining tumor response<sup>[14]</sup>. The difference in results between their study and our study could be related to differences in HCC size (their mean tumor size, 3.1 cm compared with 7.98 cm in our study).

The heterogeneity of BCLC stage B HCC has led to the division of BCLC stage B into 4 subgroups (B1, B2, B3 and B4), based on tumor burden and liver function, and that corresponded to a rising severity of the disease. In this scenario, TACE is proposed as the first-line therapy for patients in subgroups B1 and B2 and as a potential option in subgroup B3 but not for subgroup B4[8]. Our results supported the best outcomes for TACE in subgroup B1 (OR ratio 75%, mean overall survival 26.7 mo), followed by B2 (OR ratio 34.8%, mean overall survival 18.07 mo), B3 (OR ratio 9.1%, mean overall survival 17.38 mo) and B4 (OR ratio 10.6%, mean overall survival 17.62 mo). For subgroups B3 and B4, other treatment options to be considered include transarterial radioembolization and oral tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Our study has several limitations. First, this is a retrospective study, with the potential bias in patient selection or reporting. Second, our sample size was relatively small. Third, all our patients received conventional TACE. Those with TACE using drug-eluting bead therapies were not included, despite



past meta-analyses reporting no difference in outcomes between the two TACE methods [15,16]. At last, the sessions of repeat TACE or adverse effects of TACE of our cases were not recorded. Further research with a larger number of patients including more variables is desirable.

#### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the intermediate stage HCC patients with smaller tumor size or within the up-to-7 criteria showed better survival outcomes for TACE. The BCLC stage B subgroup is useful to predict refractoriness of HCC to TACE and to establish future therapeutic strategies.

#### **ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

#### Research background

The widely used algorithm for the classification of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, based on tumor characteristics, like size, number, presence of macroscopic vascular invasion or extrahepatic spread as well as the hepatic function and performance status of the patient. The intermediate stage, or BCLC stage B, is characterized by the presence of large or multifocal HCC without evidence of macroscopic vascular invasion or extrahepatic spread. Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) is the recommended treatment modality for these stage B patients. However, due to the clinical heterogeneity in this population of patients, only some have a favorable outcome after TACE.

#### Research motivation

The up-to-7 criteria, meaning the sum of the size of the largest tumor and the number of tumors within or beyond 7, is a practical standard to select those HCC patients for liver transplantation. This criteria was further proposed to identify which HCC patients in BCLC stage B could benefit from TACE.

#### Research objectives

The aim of the present study was to determine useful factors for predicting response to TACE and survival after TACE in patients with intermediate stage HCC.

#### Research methods

Patients with BCLC stage B HCC who underwent TACE as the primary treatment were enrolled at Taichung Veterans General Hospital from January 2005 to December 2009. Patients were assigned to either the objective responder (OR) group or the non-OR group according to mRECIST criteria. Clinical and radiological characteristics were compared between the 2 groups. The overall survival of enrolled subjects was analyzed.

#### Research results

In 128 enrolled patients, 66 (51.6%) were in the OR group and 62 (48.4%) in the non-OR group. Compared with the non-OR group, the OR group had a significantly smaller HCC size (6.55 cm vs 9.50 cm, P = 0.001) and was within the up-to-7 criteria (50% vs 26.7%, P = 0.001). After multivariable analyses, these significant associations still existed. Overall average survival rate of all the subjects was  $20.65 \pm 13.26$  mo. Survival rates at 1-year were 64.8%, at 2-year were 46.9% and at 3-year were 31.2%. For those patients with OR to TACE, smaller tumor size and within up-to-7 criteria were associated with significantly better overall survival. Those patients with subgroup B1 had the highest OR ratio (75%) and better overall survival (26.70 ± 12.07 mo) after TACE.

#### Research conclusions

BCLC stage B HCC patients with smaller tumor size or within up-to-7 criteria had better survival outcomes to TACE. BCLC stage B subgroup is useful to predict refractoriness to TACE.

#### Research perspectives

In the future, the BCLC stage subgroup and up-to-7 criteria should have predictive value for patients with intermediate stage HCC receiving TACE.

#### FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Lee SW, Peng YC and Chang CS designed the research study; Lee SW, Lien HC and Ko CW



performed the research; Tung CF and Chang CS contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Lee SW, Lien HC and Tung CF analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: This study was reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board (No. 104DHA0500198).

Informed consent statement: All study participants provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

#### Country/Territory of origin: Taiwan

**ORCID** number: Shou-Wu Lee 0000-0002-2412-2665; Yen-Chun Peng 0000-0002-2412-2655; Han-Chung Lien 0000-0002-1570-863X; Chung-Wang Ko 0000-0002-8638-1195; Chun-Fang Tung 0000-0002-8764-6378; Chi-Sen Chang 0000-0002-1192-030X.

S-Editor: Gong ZM L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Gong ZM

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Llovet JM, Brú C, Bruix J. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: the BCLC staging classification. Semin Liver Dis 1999; 19: 329-338 [PMID: 10518312 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-1007122]
- Forner A, Llovet JM, Bruix J. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Lancet 2012; 379: 1245-1255 [PMID: 22353262 DOI: 2 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61347-0]
- 3 Forner A, Reig ME, de Lope CR, Bruix J. Current strategy for staging and treatment: the BCLC update and future prospects. Semin Liver Dis 2010; 30: 61-74 [PMID: 20175034 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1247133]
- 4 Bruix J, Sherman M; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. Hepatology 2011; 53: 1020-1022 [PMID: 21374666 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24199]
- Llovet JM, Bruix J. Systematic review of randomized trials for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: Chemoembolization 5 improves survival. Hepatology 2003; 37: 429-442 [PMID: 12540794 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50047]
- Lencioni R, de Baere T, Soulen MC, Rilling WS, Geschwind JF. Lipiodoltransarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: A systematic review of efficacy and safety data. Hepatology 2016; 64: 106-116 [PMID: 26765068 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28453]
- 7 Mazzaferro V, Llovet JM, Miceli R, Bhoori S, Schiavo M, Mariani L, Camerini T, Roayaie S, Schwartz ME, Grazi GL, Adam R, Neuhaus P, Salizzoni M, Bruix J, Forner A, De Carlis L, Cillo U, Burroughs AK, Troisi R, Rossi M, Gerunda GE, Lerut J, Belghiti J, Boin I, Gugenheim J, Rochling F, Van Hoek B, Majno P; Metroticket Investigator Study Group. Predicting survival after liver transplantation in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma beyond the Milan criteria: a retrospective, exploratory analysis. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 35-43 [PMID: 19058754 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(08)70284-5]
- Bolondi L, Burroughs A, Dufour JF, Galle PR, Mazzaferro V, Piscaglia F, Raoul JL, Sangro B. Heterogeneity of patients 8 with intermediate (BCLC B) Hepatocellular Carcinoma: proposal for a subclassification to facilitate treatment decisions. Semin Liver Dis 2012; 32: 348-359 [PMID: 23397536 DOI: 10.1055/s-0032-1329906]
- Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LR, Zhu AX, Murad MH, Marrero JA. AASLD 9 guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2018; 67: 358-380 [PMID: 28130846 DOI: 10.1002/hep.29086]
- 10 Lencioni R, Llovet JM. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular carcinoma. Semin Liver Dis 2010; **30**: 52-60 [PMID: 20175033 DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1247132]
- Au JS, Frenette CT. Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Current Status and Future Directions. Gut Liver 2015; 9: 11 437-448 [PMID: 26087860 DOI: 10.5009/gnl15022]
- 12 Golfieri R, Renzulli M, Mosconi C, Forlani L, Giampalma E, Piscaglia F, Trevisani F, Bolondi L; Bologna Liver Oncology Group (BLOG). Hepatocellular carcinoma responding to superselectivetransarterial chemoembolization: an issue of nodule dimension? J VascIntervRadiol 2013; 24: 509-517 [PMID: 23428355 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2012.12.013]
- 13 Kadalayil L, Benini R, Pallan L, O'Beirne J, Marelli L, Yu D, Hackshaw A, Fox R, Johnson P, Burroughs AK, Palmer DH, Meyer T. A simple prognostic scoring system for patients receiving transarterialembolisation for hepatocellular cancer. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 2565-2570 [PMID: 23857958 DOI: 10.1093/annonc/mdt247]
- 14 Katayama K, Imai T, Abe Y, Nawa T, Maeda N, Nakanishi K, Wada H, Fukui K, Ito Y, Yokota I, Ohkawa K. Number of Nodules but not Size of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Can Predict Refractoriness to Transarterial Chemoembolization and



Poor Prognosis. J Clin Med Res 2018; 10: 765-771 [PMID: 30214648 DOI: 10.14740/jocmr3559w]

- 15 Varela M, Real MI, Burrel M, Forner A, Sala M, Brunet M, Ayuso C, Castells L, Montañá X, Llovet JM, Bruix J. Chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma with drug eluting beads: efficacy and doxorubicin pharmacokinetics. J Hepatol 2007; 46: 474-481 [PMID: 17239480 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.10.020]
- 16 Gao S, Yang Z, Zheng Z, Yao J, Deng M, Xie H, Zheng S, Zhou L. Doxorubicin-eluting bead versus conventional TACE for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis. Hepatogastroenterology 2013; 60: 813-820 [PMID: 23282741 DOI: 10.5754/hge121025]





## Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

