

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67691

Title: The predicting values of BCLC stage subgroup and up-to-7 criteria for therapeutic outcomes to patients with intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma receiving transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05560824 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Consultant Physician-Scientist, Medical Assistant, Professor, Research

Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain **Author's Country/Territory:** Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-06 06:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-06 06:58

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection



Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The available bibliography is limited, it would be advisable to update it with more current bibliography.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67691

Title: The predicting values of BCLC stage subgroup and up-to-7 criteria for therapeutic outcomes to patients with intermediate stage hepatocellular carcinoma receiving transcatheter arterial chemoembolization

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05106340 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-08 05:38

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-08 08:10

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study aims to determining factors for predicting outcomes of TACE in patients with intermediate stage B HCC. After analysis, the authors found that BCLC stage B HCC patients with smaller tumor size or within up-to-7 criteria had better survival outcomes to TACE. 1) This study assigned 45 solitary HCC patients into BCLC B stage. Please give the reason of staging. 2) Any complications as liver fallure for TACE? 3) How many cases were treated with TACE followed by surgery if any? 4) The authors can show that patients with smaller tumor size or within up-to-7 criteria had better survival outcomes to TACE. This is interesting and important to know. I miss a detailed discussion why the authors think that especially these patients/tumors benefit (difference to beyond up-to-7 criteria, larger tumor ...). 5) There are similar studies that predicting outcomes of TACE (J Hepatol, 2019,70(5):893-903 and Eur radiol 2020,30(4):2365-2376). How about the discrimination degree when compare the up-to-7 criteria and other criteria such as Milan criterion or other similar studies. 6) There are mistakes in the line 1 of result of Abstract (non-OR group), and page 10 (BLCC).