

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number: <u>13</u>

Manuscript word count: 2316

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases	
	Manuscript NO.: 67694	
	Column: Case Report	
	Title: Perfect pair, scopes unite – laparoscopic-assisted	
	transumbilical gastroscopy for gallbladder-preserving	
1	polypectomy: A case report	
1	Authors: Quan Zheng, Gang Zhang, Xiao-Huan Yu, Zhen-Feng	[Y]
	Zhao, Lu Lu, Jing Han, Jin-Zhuo Zhang, Jin-Ku Zhang and Ying	
	Xiong	
	Reviewer code: 05084430	
	First decision: 2021-07-14 01:36	
	Scientific Editor: Kai-Le Chang (Online Science Editor)	
	Date of signature: <u>9/16/2021</u> (month/day/year)	
2	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality This is an original article	
	regarding Laparoscopic-assisted transumbilical gastroscopy for	[Y]
	gallbladder-preserving polypectomy. The topic is within the scope	
	of the journal Classification: Grade B and D; Summarization of	



the Peer-Review Report: According to the authors findings Laparoscopic-assisted transumbilical gastroscopy for gallbladder-preserving polypectomy is a safe and effective technique for the treatment of gallbladder polyps when Routine cholecystectomy are not available. Format: There are 2 figures but the authors forgot to define both reporting only Figure 1. Furthermore, they reported "picture" instead of figure. References: References are not representative of the text Language evaluation The authors provided a AJE certificate and consequently english-language is acceptable Academic norms and rules There is no misconduct None of the required documents were reported by the authors. There is only one non-English attachment Supplementary comments Unsolicited Manuscript; No funding The title must be modified. The Issues raised checklist has been submitted but not completed. Also, the corresponding reference should be added in the text. The introduction must be improved reporting a brief introduction of the topic and the aim of the study. "The advantages of laparoscopy are as follows" are useless. Please focus on the main topic. The figures need to be arranged. The surgical technique must be explained fluently and not in separate sentences. The discussion must be improved. What are the clinical implications? The results should be compared with those of the literature. A table can useful 6 7 Re-Review A re-review of the manuscript is required. Recommendation The study can be improved by responding to



	comments but must be transferred to a journal with a lower IF.	
	Nothing new	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I recommend the manuscript to be	
	published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	e.g., "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	
	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
8	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[N]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	



9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (<i>e.g.</i> , MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	[Y]
	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	[+]
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	[Y]
11	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
13	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	[]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]]
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	



	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
14	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	[\]
	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant	
	background information for the study. Only the most relevant and	
	current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the	
	exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,	
	with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in	53/3
15	the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [i.e.,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (e.g., 'I',	
	'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed	
	by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are	
	described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the	
	current study, the information is presented carefully.	
	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately	
10	describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data	L N L I
16	presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the	[N]
	study.	
	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
17	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[N]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	



18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, $^{c}P < 0.05$ and $^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[N]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as $^{\circ}P < 0.05$ and $^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
10	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[N]
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[N]
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
22	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	[Y]
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.,</i> "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: " $Ma^{[1]}$	
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
L	1	



	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text (<i>e.g.</i> , Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
23	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of each word capitalized (<i>e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi</i>); the name of journals in other languages are listed according to indexing information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	[Y]
24	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as follows: <u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50; <u>Review:</u> no less than 100; <u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26; <u>Case Report and Letter to the Editor:</u> no less than 1.	[Y]
25	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the manuscript type (<i>e.g.</i> , Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, <i>etc.</i>).	[N]
26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present at the end of the paper (<i>e.g.</i> , P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang JL).	[Y]



	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	
27	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	[]]]]
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	[]]
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; e.g., 870- Review).	
31	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
51	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); e.g., 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	[Y]
	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	
	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	



	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> ,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
35	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[N]
33	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[N]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
37	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	[Y]
	The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440×680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
	(<i>e.g.</i> , 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	[Y]
	1	



	1.5 line spacing.	
Responsibilities of scientific editors	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, \div , %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript	
Publication process	according to the reviewers' comments. Manuscript reception and registration \rightarrow Initial review by scientific editor \rightarrow Peer review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific editor \rightarrow Proofreading by deputy editor \rightarrow Final review by Editor-in-Chief \rightarrow Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of online papers on PubMed Central \rightarrow Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.	