

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 67803

Title: Cardiac monitoring for patients with palpitations.

Reviewer's code: 05885746

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-30 21:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-31 02:50

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reviewed the different ECG monitoring technology for patients with palpitations. 1.Please define ECG at the first time it appears in the introduction. 2. Some devices rely on algorithms to trigger records. The algorithms may have high type I/type II errors for some populations. Then, the recorded results may mislead physicians. To select a monitor device, physicians should also consider the accuracy of computer algorithms.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 67803

Title: Cardiac monitoring for patients with palpitations.

Reviewer's code: 02457934

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Spain

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-14 15:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-04 03:09

Review time: 20 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Some parts of the manuscript are too messy and cumbersome, and the central content is not prominent enough. May consider organizing the manuscript around the advantages and disadvantages of different ECG monitoring methods or their specificity and sensitivity in clinical researches.