

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 67827

Title: Sirolimus treatment for neonate with blue rubber bleb nevus syndrome: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05429684

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BMed, MD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor, Full Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-05-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-10 14:27

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-10 17:24

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is great for the medical community as there are no reports of newborns who used serolimus. In the discussion you could have addressed more about the potential side effects and exemplified them (even if the patient didn't have side effects, you mention the possibility of these several times in the discussion and don't exemplify who they are). I appreciated the comparison between the doses in this case and the others described in the literature. Congratulations for the work.