## Annotated table of revision:

S.No	Reviewer Comments 1	Changes done
1.	This manuscript emphasized the importance of	We thank reviewer for the
	monogenic diabetes research and diagnosis in diverse	comments.
	populations and the genetic heterogeneity of patients	
	with monogenic diabetes among different populations.	
	I have some comments.	
2.	1) The article changed the topic between MODY and	The reviewer has a good point.
	monogenic diabetes throughout the paper, which is	It is now known that the
	MODY but the sequencing methods was example	MODY phenotype may be
	sequencing Since the known MODY genes have been	other than the 14 listed in
	discussed why use exome sequencing instead of	OMIM We have revised the
	targeted sequencing of MODY genes? If the topic was	paper to use "monogenic form
	monogenic diabetes, why not selecting patients for	of diabetes (MFD)"
	other types of monogenic diabetes such as	throughout the paper. With
	lipodystrophy and syndromic diabetes?	falling costs of NGS, it is
		nowadays less expensive to
		sequence the whole exome,
		then focus the bioinformatics
		on the specific known genes.
2	2) It is now well recognized that KIE11 DIK and	Dy our reading of the
5.	2) It is now well recognized that KLFII, BLK, and PAXA are not MODY causing genes though not	by our reading of the
	reflected in OMIM vet	been settled as is reflected in
		OMIM, and we do not believe
		that we can completely omit
		mentioning them. We have
		inserted a note of caution
		about these genes in the
		Discussion
		(Page 9; line 217-218, Page
1	2) The let it 1 ACMC short for the setterious	10; line 264-266)
4.	3) The detailed ACMG classification criteria of	The missense variants were
	disease-causing variants should be listed.	selected only if predicted
		disease-causing by the
		majority of 10 algorithms
		used (legend to table 1)
		$\Delta CMC / \Delta MD$ arithmic)
		The computational
		rite computational
		delatariana affecta en lla
		aeleterious effects on the
		gene.

		All variants were selected
		databases or rare)
		(Page 7; line 168-169)
5.	4) The sequencing method and platform should be described.	Exome sequencing was carried out with 50 Mb Agilent Sure select array and sequencing on Illumina Hi-seq at 50X depth. This has been added in the Methods section. (Page 6; line 146-147)
6.	5) The variants should be listed by their HGVS nomenclatures.	Changed throughout the manuscript. (Page 3; line 65-69 and other places)
7.	6) The discussion part mentioned the usage of MODY probability calculator; it would be great to show this part of data while demonstrating the patient's information.	This information is mentioned in Results. (Page 8-9; line 209-213)
8.	7) Has any of the enrolled patients been tested for C- peptide?	Yes, patients from Lahore were tested for fasting c- peptide. All patients selected for exome sequencing were having normal range (0.8-3.8) of fasting c-peptide. This information has been added. Page 6: line 129-130
9.	<ul> <li>Other minor errors:</li> <li>1) The gene name should follow HGNC nomenclature.</li> <li>2) Numbers below ten should be uniformly written as one digit number.</li> </ul>	Corrected as per HGNC nomenclature Corrected

S.No	<b>Reviewer Comments 2</b>	Changes done
1.	This article aims to determine the genetic variation	We thank the reviewer for
	and frequency responsible for monogenic diabtes in	encouraging us to proceed for
	the Pakistani population. This topic is very	further studies in this
	interesting and the researchers have come to their	population, which we are
	own conclusions. However, as the author pointed	planning.
	out, there is need for large scale genetic studies on	
	early onset of diabetes in the country.	
2.	1. The inclusion criteria of MODY patients should	We selected patients having
	be supplemented according to the standard of	onset of diabetes below 25
	clinical diagnosis and treatment.	years of age and having

		diabetes as per WHO definition, whose treating physician suspected it might be monogenic, based on either clinical impression, or a calculated high MODY score.
3.	2. The word pediatrics in the key words is inappropriate.	Removed
4.	3. Maturity Onset Diabetes of the young should be spelled completely when it appears for the first time, and if it appears again, it can be referred to as MODY for short.	Per our answer to Reviewer 1, we have replaced MODY with "monogenic form of diabetes".
5.	4. How about the treatment? The authors should describe the therapy of the patients.	The treatment details of patients are presented in supplementary table (S1) (Page 21-23; line 559-561)
6.	5. The discussion is simple and needs improvement.	We have added some discussion about the need and challenges of identifying candidates for monogenic diabetes in Pakistan. We would be grateful to the reviewer if s/he could point out specific places that need further improvement. (Page 11; 270-272)
7.	6. Supplementary table S1 is the demographic and clinical characteristics of the 28 participants who chose to sequence the exon group. And the article describes 15 patients from Lahore. The two contradict each other.	As shown in Fig. 1 and described in the methods, the 15 Lahore patients were part of the total cohort of 184 patients, from whom we selected the 28 participants. Among these 28, 5 were from Lahore (Fig. 1). (Page 18; line 522)
8.	7. Supplementary Figure S2 is not clear.	Unfortunately, this figure is the output of the variant calling software and we have no way of changing it to higher resolution. We have revised the legend, to draw the attention of the reader tothe one point the figure clearly conveys: the two sets of green marks, one for each variant, showing that they always fall

		on a different pair of reads. (Page 20-21; line 554-557)
9.	8. Ensure the accuracy of the reference lists before submitting the manuscript. Some of the references	Old references are replaced with recent ones.
	cited in the paper are older studies, and should be replaced by more recent papers.	

S.No	Reviewer Comments 3	Changes done
1.	There was a lack of data on monogenic diabetes	We thank reviewer for the
	from Pakistan; therefore this study was designed to	comments.
	determine the genetic variants responsible for	
	monogenic diabetes in the country. The study	
	identified wide spectrum of genetic variants	
	potentially causing monogenic diabetes. The	
	identification of novel variants paved the way for	
	better understanding of genetic landscape and risk	
	factors of monogenic diabetes in the country	