August 5, 2021

Dear editors,

We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers have dedicated to providing your valuable feedback on our manuscript. We have been able to incorporate changes to reflect most of the suggestions provided by the reviewers and editors.

Name of Journal: World Journal of Methodology

Manuscript Number: 68442

Manuscript Type: Original Article (Retrospective Study)

Manuscript Title: Phenomenology of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in Children and Adolescents: Sample From a Tertiary Care Center in Istanbul, Turkey

Authors: Anil Cifter and Ayse Burcu Erdogdu.

Email: anilcifter.de@gmail.com

- **Reviewer #1:** The Manuscript Number 68442 titled "Phenomenology of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder In Children and Adolescents: Sample From A Tertiary Care Center In Istanbul, Turkey" represent an original retrospective study aimed at evaluating clinical and socio-demographic variables among a large sample of adolescents and children affected by OCD. I have only one comment: given the modality of sample recruitment could the Authors add information regarding how many subjects refuse to participate to the study research? This information may ameliorate the overall quality of the paper.
- Authors: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your comment. All of the participant children were in our follow-up and the

aims of the study were told them in detail. Since the data was collected retrospectively by examining the patient files, any of the children or their parent refused to participate this study. Therefore, we did not add any additional explanation to our manuscript about this issue.

Science Editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective study of the phenomenology of obsessive-compulsive disorder in children and adolescents. The topic is within the scope of the WJM. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The manuscript represents an original retrospective study aimed at evaluating clinical and socio-demographic variables among a large sample of adolescents and children affected by OCD. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 3 tables and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 31 references are cited, including 3 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself (themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to editorial office@wignet.com. The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and the written informed consent. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an invited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJM. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (2) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the

end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional

acceptance.

• Authors: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for your

comment. 1) A new PowerPoint file has been added including original

figures (Figures_68442). 2) Thank you for pointing this out. "Article

Highlights" section has been added to the end of the manuscript,

according to the submission guidelines.

• Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of

the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic

publishing requirements of the World Journal of Methodology, and the

manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s)

for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments

and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

• Authors: Thank you for the consideration of this paper and for

providing us with the Criteria for Manuscript Revision documents. All

abbreviations were defined upon first appearance in the manuscript.

All authors have approved this manuscript for submission. The content has

not been published elsewhere.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the World Journal of

Methodology.

Best regards,

Anıl Çifter, M.D.

Ayşe Burcu Erdoğdu, M.D.