

Dear Dr.Ma

Thank you very much for your letter and advice. We have revised the paper, and would like to re-submit it for your consideration. We have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers, and the amendments are highlighted in red in the revised manuscript. We hope that the revision is acceptable, and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely,  
Zhao Li, Wen Gao

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

First of all, we thank both reviewers and editor for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions.

Reviewer #1:

1. Response to comment: Histology should be provided on both low and high magnification to allow the reader truly appreciate the pathology.

Response: It is really true as Reviewer suggested that We provide 50 times, 100 times, and 400 times different pathological slice images under the microscope.(Fig.10)

Special thanks to you for your good comments.

Reviewer #2:

1.Response to comment: I suggested the authors described data gathering methods (from medical chart or interview or experience). Please give more information about patients (underlying, family history or previous condition)

Response: As Reviewer suggested that we made the general condition of the patient into Table 1, including age, gender, occupation, family history, and previous condition

2.Response to comment: In diagnosis and treatment (line 12) start with diagnosis from previous hospital, please brief explain for diagnosis in hospital.

Response: It is really true as Reviewer suggested that we added the previous hospital diagnosis "Left Uveitis and Ocular Occupancy" in the 15th line of the "Diagnosis" section.

3.Response to comment: Illustrations and tables: I very concerned about Fig. 5 8 9 10 11 it's not good quality picture.

Response: We are very sorry for our negligence of these pictures, and we re-collected the original medical records and took Fig.5, 8, 9, 11 again.

4.Response to comment: The manuscript cite appropriately but not the latest references.

Response: Considering the Reviewer's suggestion, we re-ran the literature search and added 2 articles from 2020.

5.Response to comment: I didn't see about ethic statements in this case report.

Response: It is really true as Reviewer suggested that We have included an ethics statement at the end of the article

Special thanks to you for your good comments.

Science editor:

1. Response to comment: The "Author Contributions" section is missing. Please provide the author contributions;

Response: We are very sorry for our negligence of the "Author Contributions" missing, and we added this part before the main text.

2. Response to comment: The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: As Science editor suggested that we added all the original pictures in PowerPoint arranged the order, and made notes.

3. Response to comment: PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout;

Response: It is really true as Science editor suggested that we added PubMed number and DOI citation number to all references, and listed all authors of the reference.

4. Response to comment: The "Case Presentation" section was not written according to the Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation. Please re-write the "Case Presentation" section, and add the "INTRODUCTION", "FINAL DIAGNOSIS", "TREATMENT", and "OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP" sections to the main text, according to the Guidelines and Requirements for Manuscript Revision.

Response: We have made correction according to the Science editor's comments. We re-read the "Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation" section as required, rewrite the Case Presentation section, and divide it into "History of present illness", "Physical examination", "Treatment plan", "Examination results", "Diagnosis", "Treatment", "Outcomes and Follow-up", and "Final diagnosis". Since the correct diagnosis was made after obtaining the results of the postoperative pathology and bacterial culture of the case, we put the "Final diagnosis" part at the end of the "Case Presentation". Added "Treatment" and "Outcomes and Follow-up" sections as required.

Special thanks to you for your good comments.

Company editor-in-chief:

1. Response to comment: Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".

Response: Considering the editor-in-chief's suggestion, we express the pictures with similar content in Fig.4, Fig.5, Fig.6, Fig.7, Fig.10, and Fig.11 according to the unified expression of A, B, C...

Special thanks to you for your good comments.