

Reply to the Editor.

Dear Respected Editor/Reviewer

Good day

Thank you very much for the comprehensive review and for your precious time that you spent in reviewing this study. We did the advised changes and answered the queries. All the changes were marked by red colour for easily tracking by the reviewer. The manuscript looks much better with these changes, and we tried to improve the language as we can. Thank you gain for your precious assistance.

Here we are replying point by point:

The reviewer Comments:

We removed colons from the introduction as well as from other similar contexts.

We added a Flow chart (Figure 4)

LANGUAGE POLISHING:

language polishing was done by native English-speaker

ABBREVIATIONS

The basic rules on abbreviations were strictly followed

EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS:

Self-cited references: There is 1 self-cited reference.:

The self-citation is 1 reference (2.7%) which is less than the acceptable range. The reference cited in within the core of the work and is related to our methodology.

(1) The authors did not provide original pictures.

We attached the original pictures.

(2) The "Article Highlights" section is missing.

We added the Article Highlight section.

COMPANY EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file.

The needed changes were done.

Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden.

The needed changes were done.