

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 68883

Title: Ovarian pregnancy rupture following ovulation induction and intrauterine insemination: a case report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 05937294

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-15 07:14

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-16 09:06

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgooffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting report describing a case of Ovarian pregnancy rupture following ovulation induction and intrauterine insemination. There are a few minor issues that should be addressed by the authors before consideration for publication: 1. You claimed that the patient had no history of PID and previous surgery, but extensive adhesion was found during laparoscopy. How do you justify this adhesion? 2. The authors should explain how their findings make a difference for gynecologists around the world and the readers of the World Journal of Clinical Cases? More minor issues that should be addressed include: 1. Page 4, line 73, the verb “are” doesn’t agree with the subject. Consider changing it to “is”. 2. Page 4, line 80, the noun phrase “rare complication” seems to be missing a determiner before it. Consider adding an “a” before it. 3. Page 6, line 111, use “the” before “administration”. 4. Page 8, line 165, use “the” before “case”. 5. Page 9, line 179, use “the” before “IUI”. 6. Page 9, line 185, use “the” before “pelvic”.