

July 6th, 2021
Dennis A Bloomfield,
Editor-in-Chief
World Journal of Clinical Cases

Dear Editor:

We appreciate your kind e-mail, informing us that our manuscript (No. 65954) will be reviewed after suitable revision. We have revised the manuscript according to the helpful comments from the editors and the reviewers. Please find attached our revised manuscript, and our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments below.

Thank you again for your swift and positive responses. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely yours,

Ji Hyun Song and Eun Hyo Jin, for the authors

Corresponding author

Ji Hyun Song, MD, PhD

Department of Internal Medicine, Healthcare Research Institute, Seoul National University
Hospital Healthcare System Gangnam Center, 39th FL., Gangnam Finance Center building
152, Teheran Ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 06236, Republic of Korea
Tel.: 82-2-2112-5752
Fax: 82-2-2112-5794
E-mail: philomed@hanmail.net

Reviewer) Important study as paradoxical reactions are not very uncommonly seen during endoscopic procedures. However, being a retrospective analysis, it is very difficult to account for confounding factors and identify paradoxical reactions. In the methods section, the authors have mentioned that the paradoxical reactions were monitored by a trained nurse only.

Reply: Thank you for your important comment. We added the limitation for confounding bias (Page 12, lines 23-25). In this study, we evaluated the presence and severity of paradoxical reactions according to the physicians and the trained nurses, as described in the Discussion section (Page 10, lines 17-19). However, our description regarding this in the Methods section was unclear. Thus, we revised the manuscript (Page 7, lines 9-11)

To editors)

We have added the “core tip” and “article highlights” in the manuscript, as per your comments. The corrections are marked in red.