

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 69007

**Title:** Thoracoscopic resection of a large lower esophageal schwannoma: A case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00183481

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-03 02:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-06 07:44

**Review time:** 3 Days and 4 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors reported a case with a lower esophageal schwannoma. The location of the benign tumor seems rare judging from the literature review. Twelve cases are summarized in a table indicating no immunohistochemical analysis with Sox10 antibody thus far. There are some queries for this case presentation. Major points 1. The reason why lower esophageal schwannomas are rare is not mentioned in this manuscript. Furthermore, the characteristics of lower esophageal schwannomas are required in the discussion part. 2. In page 7, gender seems different in lower schwannoma compared with all schwannomas. It is hard to say this conclusion from the small number of the cases. 3. The usefulness of Sox10 immunostaining is reported in soft-tissue neoplasia. Are there any studies assessed with esophageal schwannomas? Otherwise, the conclusion that Sox 10 is superior to S100 is not adequate in this esophageal schwannoma. 4. A reviewer requests a literature review to compare lower esophageal schwannoma and upper/middle schwannoma. It may enable them a statistical analysis.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 69007

**Title:** Thoracoscopic resection of a large lower esophageal schwannoma: A case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00188507

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor, Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-19

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-07 09:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-07 09:47

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors reported the case of esophageal schwannoma diagnosed with histological analyses. The authors' summary of cases provide the important information and will be helpful for the physician. Over all, the manuscript was well written and can be acceptable to the journal.