

Response to reviewers

1# -This is an interesting review dealing with a challenging topic. It is an excellent well-balanced review. It is well illustrated, concise and comprehensive and could be quite informative to the readers. All studies are put into perspective and placed the review at a coherent position considering the bibliography.

Our Response – We thank the reviewer for their kind comments. Their appreciation is true validation of the hard work put into the manuscript.

2#- In order for clarity to be the guiding principle, the authors should use active voice instead of passive voice.

Our Response – We thank the reviewer for their kind comments. We apologize for the error and we have made amends in the revised manuscript. Active voice has been utilized at multiple places instead of passive one.

3#-The sentences should be short and contain one point. The paragraphs should contain one theme, few sentences and generally as few words as possible. There are some typographic errors. There are very long sentences. To improve readability, consider breaking them into multiple sentences.

Our Response – We thank the reviewer for their kind comments. We apologize for the error and we have made amends in the revised manuscript. Long sentences have been fragmented into short ones for clarity.

4#The authors are encouraged to proof-read thoroughly the text before resubmission. English must be excellent.

Our Response – We thank the reviewer for their kind comments. We have proof read the article and multiple grammatical as well as typographic errors have been corrected. We have endeavoured to improve English language also.