

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69101

Title: Elevated intra-abdominal pressure: A review of current knowledge

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06079035

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: Poland

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-16 19:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-27 10:33

Review time: 10 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very nice paper, well structured and concisely organized even it is just a narrative review. Probably a systematic one would have put much more value on the data described. Even if it is not an original study the value of data remains high. In my opinion, some more details about abdominal wall compliance, abdominal wall perfusion pressure would have been welcome and added value to the manuscript. Also the section on treatment should have included at least some notions about the open abdomen and here I refer to the indications, the stages of treatment and its local and systemic consequences. You have to correct your English language and here it is a lot to improve. Refer the manuscript to a native speaker or to a medical English language translator. Also, please correct your references in brackets because they are not unitary (eg systems and main organs.[7], normal IAP (1.2% and 7.1%, respectively).9). Also please correct thus the grammatical point to be after the brackets; references in the brackets are not correct (.[9.10.11.12.13.14.15.16]) and should be [9-16]. Please correct the format because too many words are without space between.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69101

Title: Elevated intra-abdominal pressure: A review of current knowledge

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06079035

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: Poland

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-20 06:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-05 11:10

Review time: 16 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for revising your paper according to my requests.