

Response to Reviewer

Dear editor,

I have made the following changes for consideration of our article. I look forward to having my manuscript published.

Reviewer #1:

The case presentation is well done and illustrated. However, the discussion would deserved to be developed, especially the last sentence that deserved also a reference.

Response : We have added some sentences and citations (Citation #12~18), and developed the discussion. As a result, the discussion has changed considerably.

In addition, the discussion includes QOL in association with chemotherapy.

English needs to be polished.

Response: With regard to quality of English language, one of our authors, Yugo Ramos Matsui a native English speaker, has rewritten the manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

1 The manuscript is written too simply. The introduction is scarce and poor in epidemiological notes.

Response: We have added some epidemiological contents to Introduction.

2 Authors should include further possible applications of the technique (for example: lymphoma stenosis, advanced pancreatic cancer, advanced gastric cancer) not just SMA.

Response: The sentence below was added to discussion.

“LDJ can have a significant role in palliative care of patients with obstruction around the duodenojejunal flexure due to unresectable malignant diseases such as lymphoma, pancreatic cancer, gastrointestinal tumor and peritoneal dissemination.”

3 Authors should specify the palliative nature of the surgical technique.

Response: The two sentences below have added to discussion.

“LDJ is a method of palliative care, and so the absence of postoperative complication is crucial for prolonging survival of cancer patients by means of chemotherapy.”

“LDJ can have a significant role in palliative care of patients with obstruction around the duodenojejunal flexure due to unresectable malignant diseases such as lymphoma, pancreatic

cancer, gastrointestinal tumor and peritoneal dissemination.”

4 The English language needs to be revised and the references are not very specific, the latter would be fine for a case report but the title requires a literature review and therefore should be expanded. In my opinion the discussion is too short for the purpose of a literature review

Response: With regard to quality of English language, Yugo Ramos Matsui, a native English speaker, has rewritten the manuscript.

We have deleted “REVIEW OF LITERATURE” from the title because we could find only one report of LDJ for malignancy (malignant lymphoma).

Instead, we have added some sentences to discussion and some citations (Citation #12~18) regarding QOL, chemotherapy and new anti-cancer agents.

Sincerely,

Author