

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 69281

Title: Prevention of late complications of endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions with

a coverage agent: Current status of gastrointestinal endoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03733105 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-23 22:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-27 12:50

**Review time:** 3 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I reviewed Letter to editor 'Prevention of late complications of endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions with a coverage agent: Current status of gastrointestinal endoscopy' The author is well documented about some shortcomings of the prevention of DB and DP with coverage agents after EMR or ESD. It's suitable for accepting. Minor There are typographical errors (non-amputated) in abstract and key words.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 69281

Title: Prevention of late complications of endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions with

a coverage agent: Current status of gastrointestinal endoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03724971 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-24 20:14

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-01 23:12

**Review time:** 7 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



## Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To authors, In the Abstract part, What does large non-Amputated Colorectal Lesions mean? Are the authors misspelling the word large non-pedunculated colorectal lesions? In the text 'to the editor', When other papers are marked as author et al, the author's last name is usually cited and the full name is not used. The authors will need corrections. (eg Lorenzo-Zúñiga, Vicente et al.) In the fourth paragraph of the main text, "We agree with Lorenzo-Zúñiga, Vicente et al., who reported that the routine use of prophylactic clipping does not reduce the risk of post-procedural bleeding overall and needs to focus on the economic efficiency ratio" The above sentence needs to be quoted again. In the fourth paragraph of the main text, What does non-truncated lesions mean? Doesn't that mean non-pedunculated here too? Where is Figure 1 cited in the text? Suddenly, a figure enters without explanation. The abstract, core tip, and conclusion of the text are all expressed in slightly different expressions, so it is not clear what the authors want to convey to the readers through this 'To the editor'. The authors will need to unify the point on this. Thanks.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

### RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 69281

Title: Prevention of late complications of endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions with

a coverage agent: Current status of gastrointestinal endoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03724971 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-23

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jing-Jie Wang (Online Science Editor)

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-19 13:55

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-19 14:09

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your efforts in revising the manuscript. In particular, it is judged that the part mentioned by the reviewer has been appropriately revised.