



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 69380

**Title:** Iatrogenic giant pseudomeningocele of the cervical spine: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03067679

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Physiotherapist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** South Korea

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-06-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-07-06 01:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-07-15 19:55

**Review time:** 9 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Well written and supported case report. The discussion regarding possible risk factors for the patient in the development of the giant pseudomeningoceles was novel and adds to the literature on this topic. Best treatment is still undetermined and so the details regarding the repair also greatly add to the literature.