

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69405

Title: Ectopic pregnancy implanted under the diaphragm: A rare case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05916047

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-15

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-22 00:57

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-22 05:27

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Wiang-Le Wu et al. reported a rare case report of ectopic pregnancy that occurred under diaphragm. As the authors described, most of ectopic pregnancy is tubal and abdominal, especially peri-diaphragmatic, is a very rare case. The authors reported detailed clinical course along with many images from multiple modalities that are convincing enough for the diagnosis. The paper is, in overall, well-written and the reviewer suggests publishing this paper in the journal based on its rare and educational aspects. I have several minor comments that should be addressed/clarified in revision. 1. Time after the last menstruation period should be clarified. 2. The authors should clarify that the patient did not have any disease history of pelvic inflammatory disease or pelvic surgery because these are known risk factors for abdominal ectopic pregnancy. 3. In the histopathology section, the authors should clarify that other differentials were excluded including hydatidiform mole.