

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69496

Title: Primary mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the bladder from an imaging perspective: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00070191

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-02 11:13

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-02 11:46

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, a rare case of MALT of the bladder is reported. The manuscript is concise and clear. However, the following revisions should be made: 1. In the laboratory analysis section, the authors note that the tumor analysis revealed an elevated CA125 level. Therefore, the meaning and importance of this finding should be addressed in the discussion section. In addition, it should be explained to the reader why high levels of CA125 may be present in a patient with MALT lymphoma. 2. In the first paragraph of the discussion section, it is stated that "As no naturally occurring lymphoid tissue exists in the bladder, the pathogenesis of primary bladder MALT lymphoma may be the accumulation of extranodal lymphoid tissue resulting from chronic inflammation, which is similar to the mechanism of gastric MALT lymphoma caused by *Helicobacter pylori* infection[1]." So, why is there no history of chronic inflammation in the presented case? Accordingly, it would be more appropriate to discuss this situation concerning the presented case. 3. I also believe that reporting the molecular properties of maltomas other than their immunohistochemical profile is essential in informing the reader. 4. Minor language polishing is required.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69496

Title: Primary mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the bladder from an imaging perspective: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00071178

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-02 20:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-02 21:07

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors My comment as follows: Primary MALT lymphoma of the urinary bladder is a rare entity. In addition, it is very difficult to diagnose primary bladder MALToma because it is very rare to consider this in the differential diagnosis. Therefore, it is very important to raise awareness about this issue. Is there any spot information suggested by the authors for this awareness? In this rare case where a limited number of case reports are published, it is important to review the literature in order to make a recommendation. When we look at the Pubmed database, it is seen that less than 100 case reports have been published on this subject. A review of 64 patients was prepared in a study previously published in 2020. In my opinion, in order to increase the academic value of this study, a short literature summary can be added with the newly published cases. This format will allow the article to receive more citations.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69496

Title: Primary mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the bladder from an imaging perspective: A case report

Reviewer's code: 05078274

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, MBBS

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-05 13:58

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-05 14:48

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Its definitely a rare tumor and an interesting case report . But since the main aim of the report is to stress on the imaging finding you should address that more elaborately in the discussion. 1. Instead of giving a full case history about the patient which is very elaborate , please try to summarise the important findings under one heading as case presentation which will include everything from the presentation to the management. 2. The author has presented this case report to emphasize the imaging finding of this rare tumor in the bladder. I feel the author should add more points in the discussion about the characteristic imaging finding of a bladder tumor and a lymphoma in ultrasound and CT in a table so that the main aim of the article is served . 3. Is it a protocol to follow up lymphoma patients by an ultrasound . I feel follow up CECT should be the standard of care . The author should justify this . 4. In figure 1 kindly remove the non magnified pictures . Keep only the magnified pictures . Overall its an interesting case report but I feel you should work a little bit on the discussion to stress on the imaging findings of this tumor and how it differs from other bladder tumors.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69496

Title: Primary mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the bladder from an imaging perspective: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00071178

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-02

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-06 04:12

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-08 05:30

Review time: 2 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Thank you for revision