

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 69699

Title: Remimazolam benzenesulfonate anesthesia effectiveness in cardiac surgery patients under general anesthesia

Reviewer's code: 06129046

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-28

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-08-02 02:39

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-15 16:33

Review time: 13 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study was designed to investigate the clinical value of remimazolam benzenesulfonate in cardiac surgery patients under general anesthesia. Total 80 patients who underwent surgery were included. The design of the study is good. The anesthesia methods are described in detail. The results are interesting and well discussed. Minor Comments: 1. The manuscript requires an editing. There is some minor language polishing, which should be corrected. 2. Please take attention about the abbreviations. 3. The references should be edited.