

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69948

Title: Role of immune escape in different digestive tumours

Reviewer's code: 05906390

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-26 04:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-26 04:16

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ Y] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reviewed the mechanisms of immune escape in tumour cells of the digestive system, this is indeed an important direction to provide some valuable ideas for the current study. However, I question the quality of the paper, because there are lots of references that published 5 years ago. For example, the sentence "In recent years, in-depth study of the digestive system has resulted in the identification of factors related to immune escape. For example, in a study on the relationship between intestinal flora and C.....", in this paragraph, the authors cite references that published in the year 2014, I don't think this achievements can reflect the recent advancements in this area. So, I prefer to reject this manuscript with regret, and hope this will not dis-encourage you.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69948

Title: Role of immune escape in different digestive tumours

**Reviewer's code:** 05455405

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-25 22:14

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-31 10:21

Review time: 5 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, The reviewed manuscript is devoted to an actual topic, since the position of immunotherapy as a pillar of systemic cancer treatment has been firmly established over the past decade. The authors attempted to generalize the available data on biomolecular aspects and pathological pathways involved in the imbalance between the immune system and tumor in the digestive tract area. Highlighted factors could theoretically be part of potential combination strategies, including combinations with existing local and systemic approaches, which would justify novel therapies for different digestive tumors 1 Title. The title does reflect the main hypothesis of the manuscript. 2 Abstract. Abstract is written in general phrases without clarification and specifics. It is possible to expand the abstract and strengthen the scientific style by those leading pathways and factors that theoretically generalized the authors in the manuscript. 3 Key words. Key words are reflecting the focus of the manuscript. 4 Background. Manuscript does adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study. 5 Methods. It was not required. 6 Results. The results are written in vague and scattered throughout the text of the manuscript. 7 Discussion. In the article under review, a rather complex and interesting topic is considered, however, the authors summarizing the material gave rather succinct conclusions and an undeveloped discussion. The text of the article gives mainly superficial ideas about the problem, which currently requires deep processing of the text. 8 Illustrations and tables. For a better perception of the article, authors need to add a pivot table with the received review data. The figure does not contain explanations and does not fully reflect the content of the manuscript. 9 Biostatistics. Not used. 10 Units. Not used. 11 References. The volume and quality of the scientific literature used is sufficient. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. The manuscript requires revision and correction. 13 Research methods and reporting. Not used. 14 Ethics statements.



Ethics committee or institutional considerations are not applicable.



### **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 69948

Title: Role of immune escape in different digestive tumours

Reviewer's code: 05455405

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-07-25

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-09-06 06:43

Reviewer performed review: 2021-09-06 16:22

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear editors and authors, The manuscript summarizes the available data on the



relationship between the immune response and the development/progression of cancer of the digestive system. The data on pathological pathways analyzed by the authors are summarized in the table, which allows us to take a comprehensive look at the role of immune escape in different digestive tumours. The text of the manuscript has been significantly improved to understand its content.